DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 040 522

40

EC 005 414

AUTHOR TITLE McConnell, Freeman; And Others
A Study of Current Practices in Education for

Hard-of-Hearing Children. Final Report.

INSTITUTION

American Speech and Hearing Association, Washington, D.C. Joint Committee on Audiology and Education of

the Deaf.

SPONS AGENCY BUREAU NO PUB DATE GRANT Office of Education (DHEW), Washington, D.C.

BR-7-1039 Oct 69

OEG-0-8-071039-0188 (032)

NOTE 126p.

EDRS PRICE DESCRIPTORS

EDRS Price MF-\$0.50 HC-\$6.40
Ancillary Services, *Aurally Handicapped, Clinics,
Deaf, *Educational Needs, Educational Programs,
*Exceptional Child Research, Hard of Hearing,

Identification, Professional Personnel, Public Schools, Special Schools, State Agencies

ABSTRACT

Descriptive data were gathered from state departments of education and health, local school districts, speech and hearing clinics, and residential and day schools for the deaf and hard of hearing. Site visits were made to 10 school districts. Results demonstrated that more emphasis was being placed upon the identification of children with hearing loss than upon the education and continued reevaluation of these children. Site visits confirmed the impression that there was a lack of understanding of the special educational needs of hard of hearing children. State departments were generally unable to affect identification or educational programs. Schools for the deaf were frequently required, by default, to accept hard of hearing children, but only infrequently made special provisions for them. Clinics identified their chief function as providing identification and communication skills development services for preschool children. Recommendations were made involving educational, leadership, and research needs. (Author/JD)

ERIC Truit Provided by ERIC

FORM 0801

PA-40 OE-132,4 BR-7-13?

FINAL REPORT

Project No. 7-1039 Grant No. 0EG-0-8-071039-0188 032

A STUDY OF CURRENT PRACTICES IN EDUCATION FOR
HARD-OF-HEARING CHILDREN

Joint Committee on Audiology and Education of the Deaf, of the

American Speech and Hearing Association
and
Conference of Executives of American Schools for the Deaf

James E. Fricke, Project Director Roberta Murray, Research Assistant

October, 1969

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE

Office of Education
Bureau of Education for the Handicapped

Ews 414

Final Report

Project No. 7-1039 Grant No. 0EG-0-8-071039-0188 (032)

A STUDY OF CURRENT PRACTICES IN EDUCATION FOR HARD-OF-HEARING CHILDREN

Joint Committee on Audiology and Education of the Deaf

Freeman McConnell
Donald Calvert
William G. Hardy

Ben E. Hoffmeyer William E. Castle William J. McClure

Washington, D.C.

October, 1969

The research reported herein was performed pursuant to a grant with the Office of Education, U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare. Contractors undertaking such projects under Government sponsorship are encouraged to express freely their professional judgment in the conduct of the project. Points of view or opinions stated do not, therefore, necessarily represent official Office of Education position or policy.

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION & WELFARE OFFICE OF EDUCATION

THIS POCUMENT HAS BEEN REPRODUCED EXACTLY AS RECEIVED FROM THE PERSON OR ORGANIZATION ORIGINATING IT. POINTS OF VIEW OR OPINIONS STATED DO NOT NECESSARILY REPRESENT OFFICIAL OFFICE OF EDUCATION POSITION OR POLICY.



TABLE OF CONTENTS

1
3
4 5 5 6
7 9 9 10 10
12 15 16 19 20 22 22
24 26 26 28
30 32 34
35
38
39

LIST OF TABLES

1	Sample size, number of follow-up procedures and percentage response for each type of agency surveyed	Ę
2	Hearing services required by state law for forty-three states	8
3	Usable responses (and percentages) of the School Districts surveyed broken down by student population range. Three follow-up procedures were used following mailing of the original questionnaire	12
4	Numbers and percentages of school districts that directly provide, or provide through other agencies, five kinds of hearing testing services. Percentages are based on a total of 792 school districts reporting	13
5	Periodicity of audiometric screening as reported by 785 school districts	14
6	Frequency of audiometer calibration as reported by 785 school districts	15
7	Number of school districts that employ the indicated types of staff persons to perform five kinds of hearing testing services which are directly provided by the school districts	16
8	The number of school districts which provide educational services for their hearing-impaired students through the indicated types of facilities, based upon the responses of 715 school districts reporting	17
9	Number of school districts that provide 10 kinds of educational services for the hearing-impaired students who are enrolled in their districts	18
10	Responses to the question: "Are the majority of the hard-of-hearing students who are in regular classes achieving at their potential as determined by psychological tests?" Based upon the replies of 294	
	school districts	19



Tables (Continued)

11	indicated types of staff persons to perform seven kinds of ancillary services	20
12	Number of students with hearing levels between 25 and 79 dB ASA, as reported by 78 facilities for the deaf and hard-of-hearing	24
13	Most frequent reasons for students with hearing levels for speech for the better ear between 25-79 dB being referred to facilities for the deaf. Referral reasons were ranked "!" (most frequent), "2" (second most frequent) and "3" (third most frequent) by the respondents	25
î4	Average class size by grade level as reported by 78 facilities for the deaf	26
15	Number and percentage of the 78 facilities for the deaf that employ the indicated types of professional staff	27
16	Number and percentage of facilities for the deaf that require the indicated evaluations before hard-of-hearing students are admitted to their schools	27
17	Number and percentage of facilities for the deaf that utilize the indicated types of staff persons to determine the kinds of progroms needed by hearing-impaired students	28
18	Number and percentage of speech and hearing centers that provide seven kinds of special diagnostic hearing tests	30
19	The number of speech and hearing centers that employ the indicated types of staff persons to perform five kinds of hearing testing services. Each respondent could check as many types of staff persons as was appropriate for his clinic	3
20	The number of speech and hearing centers that provide the indicated kinds of programs for hard-of-hearing children in the 1967-68	2.4
	academic year or the 1967 fiscal year	37



Tables (Continued)

21	The number of speech and hearing centers that reported that audiologists, speech pathologists, or teachers of the deaf usually perform five services for hard-of-hearing	22
	children	33
22	The number and percentage of speech and hear- ing clinics reporting on the availability of appropriate programs through other agencies in the community, following com- pletion of the program of services offered	
	through the clinic	34



SUMMARY

The purpose of this project was to study current practices of identifying hard-of-hearing children, and to describe the services which are being provided to meet their particular educational needs. Descriptive data were gathered from state departments of education and health, local school districts, speech and hearing clinics, and residential and day schools for the deaf and hard-of-hearing.

Questionnaires for each of the four different sources studied were developed by the Joint Committee on Audiology and Education of the Deaf (JCAED), the project director, and the project assistant. The questionnaires were individually tailored to meet the differences among the four types of agencies surveyed. An attempt was made to compromise between a high degree of specificity and a practical length for each of the questionnaires.

For the purposes of this study, "hard-of-hearing" was defined as sensitivity in the better ear of between 25 and 79 dB (ASA-53) for speech. This definition was considered the most generally acceptable and understandable one available. The questionnaires were pretested and submitted to the Office of Education for approval prior to initiation of the survey.

In addition to the data collected by means of the questionnaires, site visits were conducted at ten of the school districts which had responded to the questionnaire. The purpose of these visits was to obtain information and impressions beyond those which were derived from the initial questionnaires. Also, the site visits allowed for a subjective test of the validity of the responses from these ten school districts.

The results of the study demonstrated that more emphasis is being placed upon the identification of children with hearing loss than is being placed upon the education and continued reevaluation of these students. The nation's school districts are aware of the importance of identifying hearing loss as an important component in the child's educational development. While the effectiveness of the school's identification programs might be questioned, virtually all of the schools surveyed conduct some kind of audiometric screening on an annual basis.

The site visits confirmed the impression received from the questionnaires that there was a lack of understanding of the special educational needs of children with subnormal hearing. This lack of understanding for the special educational problems of the hearing-impaired child is especially noticed in the small and medium-sized school systems.

State departments of health and education are generally unable to make an effective impact on either the identification of the child with hearing loss, or his educational program. The state departments are



characterized by insufficient personne!, a lack of funds, and, an absence of laws and regulations to guide their activities.

Residential and day schools for the deaf are frequently called upon to deal with children who are not deaf, but rather, hard-of-hearing. Often, schools for the deaf represent the only agency in the locale where personnel and facilities are available, and, as a result, receive the hard-of-hearing child by default. Too few of these schools, however, make special provisions for the hard-of-hearing child who is usually placed in the same program as the deaf child.

The major function of the speech and hearing clinics is in the identification of hearing-impaired children and the provision of communication skills development services for the preschool child. More than 300 clinics reported that they provide services to the preschool child.

One major recommendation emerged from this study concerning the overall level of understanding of educators and program administrators in relation to the special needs of the hard-of-hearing child. There is a need to inform persons in responsible positions about the special problems of the child who is neither deaf nor has normal hearing. This might best be done on the national level, but is also the responsibility of concerned professionals on the local level.

A second recommendation of this study has to do with the need to strengthen the leadership role of the state departments of health and education through additional personnel who are cognizant of the special needs of this segment of the handicapped population. Hopefully, the Office of Education could take a prominant role in alerting the states to the need for strong leadership at this level.

A third recommendation concerned the need for an evaluation of current techniques and equipment used with hard-of-hearing children. In addition, there is a need for the development of models of delivery systems as a way of stimulating better provision of services for hearing-impaired children. Research relating to the possible role of supportive personnel in the delivery of services to these children is also needed.

The results of this study suggest that there is a need for organizations and groups with a national scope to take leadership roles in promoting the concept of better educational services for the hard-of-hearing. The Joint Committee on Audiology and Education of the Deaf can, and should, take such a leadership position. If state departments of education and health can also begin to assume leadership in their own areas, improved services to hearing-handicapped children can result.

INTRODUCTION

The purposes of this project were to study current practices of identifying hard-of-hearing children, and to describe the services which are being provided to meet their particular educational needs. Descriptive data were gathered from state departments of education and health, local school districts, speech and hearing clinics, and residential and day schools for the deaf and hard-of-hearing.

This study was initiated out of the need to clarify the adequacy and prevalence of provisions being made for hard-of-hearing children throughout this country. In 1958, Mackie, et all reported that the enrollment of hard-of-hearing children in supplementary educational programs was lower than the enrollment of deaf children in state schools for the deaf, and was "far lower than would be expected from available estimates." Less than 13% of the 4,982 public school systems which responded to Mackie's inquiry indicated having any special provisions for hard-of-hearing children. This is an especially significant fact since every school contacted was in a community of 2,500 or more. From Silverman's estimate that one out of every 200 school children is hard-of-hearing and in need of particular educational provisions, one would expect that each of the 4,982 school systems should have had a minimum of four such children.

There is reason to believe that the situation which Mackie described in 1958 has not been altered much in the last decade. For example, a recent estimate by the State of Utah³ indicates that there is an immediate need for at least 100 educators who are especially trained to handle the educational needs of the moderately to severely hard-of-hearing children in that state. At the time of that estimate, however, only three of 40 school districts in Utah had a special ist to deal with this population.

The Joint Committee on Audiology and Education of the Deaf (JCAED) conducted one national and nine regional conferences between audiologists and educators of the deaf during the period 1965-67. A recurrent theme heard throughout all ten conferences was that educational needs of many hard-of-hearing children were not being satisfied. It was suggested at those meetings that many hard-of-hearing children go unidentified (or are not identified early enough) because of inadequate provisions at the state level for identification, that supplementary educational programs are not provided for many of those who need them, and that often when such programs are provided the educational needs of the children go unsatisfied because provisions are not made for adequately trained specialists to handle this type of problem.

The Joint Committee recognized the need to explore these impressions as an important step in improving the quality and quantity of services to hearing-handicapped children in the United States.



PROCEDURES

This project was an attempt to gather certain information about the services which are being provided to hearing-handicapped children by (1) state departments of health and education; (2) school districts; (3) residential and day schools for the deaf; and (4) speech and hearing clinics. The Committee felt that the most expeditious method of collecting this type of datum was through a questionnaire which could be sent to each agency, school system, and clinic. Descriptive information gathered by means of a mail-out questionnaire from sources such as these do not always result in complete and accurate data. There is a likelihood that certain questions will not be understood by all recipients in the same way, and not all respondents are willing to spend the time reguired to provide complete responses. Knowing this beforehand, however, the Committee felt that this project was of such significance that the closest possible approximation to a complete and accurate set of data should be sought at this time. The significance of these data lies, of course, in their implicit usefulness for promoting the educational welfare of hard-of-hearing children; for helping those federal, state, and local agencies which now hold the responsibility for such children; and for helping the Joint Committee pursue its basic objectives.

Ouestionnaire Development

In an effort to obtain the best possible data, the Joint Committee, the Project director, and the Research Assistant collaborated to develop questionnaires which would be appropriate for each of the four different sources which were to be investigated. The questionnaires were individually tailored to meet the differences among the four types of agencies surveyed. An attempt was made to compromise between a high degree of specificity and a practical 'angth for each of the questionnaires. A questionnaire consultant was amployed to advise the Project Director and Research Assistant on techniques in the development of this type of questionnaire.

It was anticipated that any definition for "hard-of-hearing" used in a questionnaire study would present a problem for some of the respondents. The definition finally settled upon by the Joint Committee, that a hard-of-hearing child was one who had a hearing level for speech in the better ear of between 25 and 79 dB (ASA-53), represented the most generally acceptable and understandable definition believed to be commonly used by respondents.

Each of the questionnaires which were finally developed for the four types of agencies surveyed contained two parts: "Hearing Testing Services" and "Educational and Ancillary Services." The first portion of the questionnaire sought to determine the kinds of hearing tests provided for students, qualifications of personnel who performed and supervised these tests, follow-up procedures, frequency of testing, frequency



of audiometer calibration, and the number of children with permanent hearing impairments who were identified during the past year.

The second portion of the questionnaire attempted to determine the kinds of educational programs provided for hard-of-hearing children, criteria employed to differentiate educationally <u>hard-of-hearing</u> from educationally <u>deaf</u> children, qualifications of personnel who provided education and ancillary services, and the relative success of the programs provided.

The questionnaire for state departments of education and health also contained a section that dealt with state laws and agency regulations governing hearing services.

Pretesting of Questionnaires

The questionnaires were pretested on a sample population from each of the four types of agencies investigated. Pretests were completed in early 1968 and the necessary revisions were incorporated into the final questionnaire which was submitted to the Office of Education for approval. Copies of the four questionnaires are included in Appendix A to this report.

Distribution and Response

Questionnaires were sent to all known speech and hearing clinics, every identifiable residential and day school for the deaf and/or hard-of-hearing, and all state departments of health and education. Since there are more than 10,000 school districts throughout the United States, a 10% random sample stratified by location and enrollment size, was used for this survey. School districts serving less than 600 students were eliminated. Self-addressed, stamped envelopes were provided for return of the questionnaires.

Table 1 shows the number of questionnaires sent out, the number of follow-up procedures employed, and percentage response from each of the four types of agencies surveyed.

As anticipated, not all respondents completely answered each question. Many of the respondents who did not provide any services or provided only limited hearing services wrote a narrative description of their particular situation rather than complete the questionnaire. When it was possible this descriptive information was coded and included in the presentation of data.

Analysis

Data reported here are those which seemed most relevant to the basic questions which this study asked. All questionnaire information



TABLE 1. Sample size, number of follow-up procedures, and percentage response for each type of agency surveyed.

Agency Surveyed	N	No. of Follow-up Procedures	% Return
State Departments	121	3	76
School Districts	1,047	4	77
Facilities for the Deaf and Hard-of-Hearing	109	3	81
Speech and Hearing Clinics	991	2	67

has been coded and punched into IBM cards and will be stored in the National Office of the American Speech and Hearing Association. Persons wishing to examine other aspects of the data can receive copies of the cards at a nominal cost by writing to the Association.

Site Visits

In addition to the data collected by means of the questionnaires described above, ten site visits were conducted at school districts which had responded to the questionnaire. The purpose of these visits was to obtain information and impressions beyond those which were derived from the initial questionnaires. Also, the site visits allowed for a subjective test of the validity of the responses for these ten school districts.



RESULTS

The results of the survey from each of the four kinds of agencies examined -- state departments of education and health, local school districts, speech and hearing clinics, and schools for the deaf and hard-of-hearing -- will be presented separately for the purposes of this report.

SURVEY OF STATE DEPARTMENTS

Questionnaires were sent to 121 state departments. All state departments of education and state departments of health were asked to participate. A few other departments, i.e., public welfare, crippled children's commission, etc., were selected to participate on the assumption that they, too, may have some responsibility for hearing-impaired children.

The questionnaire for state departments was designed so that the respondent for each agency needed to complete only those sections where questions pertained to the specific function of the agency. For example, if the agency did not have the primary administrative responsibility for the provision of educational services for children identified as hard-of-hearing, then the respondent was not expected to complete that section of the questionnaire dealing with "Educational and Ancillary Services." Thus, although both the state department of health and the state department of education were questioned in each state, the responses that were obtained have been combined for each state and are presented as though only one response had been received from each of the participating states.

Ninety-four responses (77%) were received. Copies of state laws and/or agency regulations that pertain to services for hearing-impaired children were made available by 30 states.

Legislative Provisions

Information was provided by 43 states about the hearing services that are required by state laws. Specifically, the question asked "Is there a state law that requires hearing services (hearing testing, special education, etc.) for children?" The responses for these 43 states are shown in Table 2. About 40% of the states have no laws which require hearing testing or special educational services, and only nine out of 43 of the states responding indicate that there are laws which require both identification and special educational provisions.

A somewhat related question asked "Do your state laws or agency regulations provide for a special education advisory committee, or other advisory committee, for hearing impaired children?" Of the 33 states responding to this question, three indicated that there was a state law



TABLE 2. Hearing services required by state law for 43 states.

Services Provided by Law	No. of States
Hearing Testing and Special Educational Services	9
Hearing Testing Services Only	i
Special Educational Services Only	7
Other (provision of services for special groups, etc.)	9
No State Laws	17

making this kind of provision, and seven states indicated that there was an agency regulation which allowed for this kind of advisory committee.

Some respondents provided copies of their state laws and/or agency regulations in lieu of answering specific questions on the question-naire. In some instances, this information could not be transferred readily to the questionnaire. In spite of this shortcoming, the responses to certain questions are worth noting. In one question, the respondents were asked: "If your state law or agency regulations specify the NUMBER OF COURSE HOURS required IN THE AREA OF HEARING (auditory training, speech reading, language development for the hard-of-hearing, etc.) of speech and hearing clinicians who work with hearing-impaired children, please indicate the minimum number of hours required." The response ranged from six to 45 quarter hours. At least eight state laws or agency regulations required 15 quarter hours, or fewer.

A related question was concerned with the qualifications, i.e., number of training hours required, of persons who perform audiometric screening. One respondent indicated that volunteers are often used for initial screening and that they are trained by an audiometrist in one hour prior to the screening of children. Another state respondent indicated that volunteers are trained for screening by a hearing consultant in a two to four hour training session. Still another state indicated that audiometric screening is performed by state personnel or speech and hearing specialists. These examples demonstrate the widespread differences between states concerning the qualifications required of persons who perform hearing testing services.

Personnel

Of the 75 departments in 44 states responding to the questionnaire, 58 (78%) employ at least one audiologist or at least one speech pathologist. Only 23 of these 58 departments employ one staff person who holds either state or national certification in hearing. Fourteen states employ a teacher of the deaf.

Hearing Testing Services

In 27 states, only hearing testing services are provided through the state department or agency. Of these 27 states that provide hearing testing services, only 15 states provide information concerning the incidence of hearing loss in the population that they serve. The percentage of failure of the screen tests ranged from 2.5% in the lowest to 24% in the highest state. Five states reported 10% or more failure of the initial screening test given to children in their states.

Those departments and agencies which provide hearing testing services to children within their states were asked how often their audiometers were calibrated with calibration test equipment. The median response indicated that calibration was done each year. However, in four states the audiometers were calibrated every two years, and in one state equipment was calibrated every three years.

One question dealt with the early identification of hearing loss in preschool children. The specific question asked "Please check ALL the age ranges for which your agency has the ADMINISTRATIVE responsibility for the provision of ANY hearing testing services (including services provided DIRECTLY by your agency)." Of those agencies which provided hearing testing services, 75% provided these services to children from 0-3 years of age. All agencies reporting indicated that they provide services for children over three years of age.

In response to the question "Is your agency able to provide for all the hearing testing services needed by children between 0 and 21 years in your state who are not being served by other state agencies, local school districts, etc.?", seventeen of the respondents indicated that their agencies were unable to provide for all such services. They responded that there was a lack of funds, a lack of personnel, and insufficient transportation standing in the way of their providing sufficient hearing testing services. One respondent stated: "Testing is done by Public Health nurses and supervised by the school service. Public Health nurses may have duties in addition to hearing screening it is remarkable that we do any hearing screening at all." Another department respondent commented that "there is only one person employed in our agency for all children... suspected of having communication handicaps."



The respondents were asked to indicate the "TOTAL budget for ALL hearing services (including services provided DIRECTLY by your agency, services purchased by your agency, programs ADMINISTERED by your agency, and reimbursements to school districts, etc., made through your agency) for the 1967-68 academic year (or 1967 fiscal year)." Only eight departments answered this question, indicating a total annual expenditure of 4.4 million dollars. Although the number of responses was too small to draw meaningful conclusions, the average expenditure for hearing services in these eight departments was \$550,000.

Some of the agencies which answered the question concerning the total budget also provided a percentage breakdown of their budget. The trend of these raw data indicated that about 45% of the annual budget is spent for salaries; about 30% is expended for services (speech, hearing, medical, surgical, etc.); approximately 15% is used for equipment and materials; about 6% goes toward the purchase of hearing aids; and 4% is used for "other" purposes.

Education and Ancillary Services

In only twelve states do one or more departments directly provide educational and/or ancillary services to hard-of-hearing children. Because the numbers are so small, it is impossible to present the data in tabular form. It might have been possible, instead, to provide a descriptive account of each of the twelve states separately. However, this was not done either. Examination of the data indicated that state departments are similar to school districts, schools for the deaf, and speech and hearing clinics, with regard to procedures, personnel, and program needs. The reader is referred to the discussions of Education and Ancillary Services in other sections of this report.

Research Needs

The respondents from the state departments were asked to suggest research which they felt was needed in the areas of hearing testing services and/or educational services for hard-of-hearing children. The most frequent kind of response to this open-ended question concerned the delivery of services. The specific recommendations had to do with both the delivery of testing services and the delivery of educational services, but emphasized the need for an assessment of the various techniques which are presently available, as well as the need for new models of delivery systems.

Other suggestions for possible research included the need for more information on the psycho-social aspects of hearing loss, hearing aid evaluation procedures for children under five years of age, and the use of supportive personnel in the delivery of services.

Discussion

Of the 45 states that provided information about their state laws and their agency regulations, only 26 indicated that some hearing services for hearing-impaired children were required by state law. Twenty-four respondents reported that there were no speech and/or hearing personnel employed in their respective agencies. Many of the departments that do employ speech pathologists, audiologists and/or educators of the deaf do not have sufficient funds or personnel to provide all of the services which they recognize as being needed.

It appeared from the results of the questionnaire that in certain areas there are neither specialists to deal with the problems of the hearing-impaired child, nor guidelines at the state level available to assist local schools and health departments. State leadership appeared to be inadequate and was recognized as such by many of the respondents who informally commented in the margins of the questionnaires. It also seems that in many states leadership for dealing with the problems of the hearing handicapped is being relegated to personnel with limited training in audiology, speech pathology, or education of the deaf. This significant conclusion should be important to program planners at the national level as they evaluate the states' ability to meet the needs of this segment of the handicapped population.



SURVEY OF SCHOOL DISTRICTS

More than 9,000 school districts in the United States have a student population of 600 or more. Due to the enormous task that would be involved in contacting each of these, a sample of 1,047 districts was selected. Table 3 shows the number of school districts chosen, according to the student-population range. Also shown in Table 3 are the percentages of returns within each category. Of the 1,047 districts sampled, 812 (77%) responded. Seven school districts wrote back indicating their refusal to participate; four of these were in the 12,000-24,999 student-population range, and three were in the 1,200-2,999 range.

The questionnaire to the school districts was composed of two parts -- Hearing Testing Services, and, Educational and Ancillary Services. The respondents were instructed to fill out only the first portion if their district provided hearing-testing services and not educational and ancillary services for the hard-of-hearing. If the school district did not provide these educational and ancillary services, or if there were no students with permanent hearing impairment enrolled in their school district, the second part of the questionnaire was left blank.

Hearing Testing Services

Of the 812 school district responses to the questionnaire, 20 provided descriptive information of their services program that did not lend itself to inclusion in the tabular data. Of these 20, seven

TABLE 3. Usable responses (and percentages) of the School Districts surveyed broken down by student population range. Three follow-up procedures were used following mailing of the original questionnaire.

\$ tudent Population Ranges	Sample Size	Usable Responses	Percent.
25,000 and over	182	155	85
12,000-24,999	169	150	89
6,000-11,999	169	138	82
3,000-5,999	176	126	72
1,200-2,999	183	127	69
600-1,199	168	116	69

indicated that they provided no hearing testing services, five indicated limited testing and referral, and eight schools reported that services to their students were provided through other facilities in the communities.

Table 4 shows the number of school districts that provide five kinds of hearing testing services or that secure such services through other agencies (state departments, speech and hearing centers, other districts, etc.). The descriptive information provided by the 20 respondents mentioned above is not included in Table 4. Therefore, the percentages shown in that Table are based upon an N of 792. It can be seen from the first line in Table 4 that the majority of the respondents (97%) provide audiometric screening. Fifty-seven percent of the respondents provide threshold tests, and 62% conduct special diagnostic hearing tests. Most of the 47% of those schools which provide hearing aid evaluations make this provision through other agencies rather than providing them directly by the school district. Of special importance is the finding that only 78% of the school districts provide for periodic testing of their known hearing impaired students.

In response to a question concerning hearing testing services for preschool children, 199 respondents indicated that they provide for

TABLE 4. Numbers and percentage of school districts that directly provide, or provide through other agencies, five kinds of hearing testing services. Percentages are based on a total of 792 school districts reporting.

Tagting Compiess	Methods of Provision					
Testing Services	Directly District	•	Through (Agencies		Both A and	B*
Auditory Screening	575	(72%)	167	(22%)	23	(3%)
Air and Bone Thresholds	238	(30%)	2 02	(25%)	14	(2%)
Special Diagnostic Hearing Tests	164	(21%)	310	(39%)	17	(2%)
Hearing Sam Evaluations	24	(3%)	343	(43%)	7	(1%)
Periodic Testing of Known Hearing Impaired Students	389	(50%)	200	(25%)	23	(3%)

audiometric screening of these children. However, only 12% of the respondents provide for periodic testing of known hearing impaired preschool children.

Although virtually all respondents provide audiometric screening, not all students are tested every year. Table 5 shows the periodicity of audiometric screening. From Table 5, it can be seen that more than half of the school districts provide screening for at least four grades every year.

Fifteen percent of the school districts <u>do not</u> provide audiemetric screening for students in programs for the mentally retarded, emotionally disturbed, brain damaged, etc. The fact that audiometric screening may not be provided for some students in special programs should not be interpreted as an indication that these students were not given an audiologic evaluation before they were placed in special programs. However, the need for an audiologic evaluation before placement in a special class, and continuing reassessment thereafter, should be stressed.

Table 6 shows the responses to the question: "About how often is the calibration of your audiometers checked with calibration testing equipment?" Approximately half of the respondents have their equipment checked on an annual basis. Twenty-two percent of the respondents have their audiometers calibrated every two years or more. Re-calibration to ISO Standards was reported by 78% of the respondents.

TABLE 5. Periodicity of audiometric screening as reported by 785 school districts.

Periodicity of Audiometric Screening	No. of Districts
All Grades Every Year	107
All Grades Every Two Years or Alternate Grades Every	Year 116
All Grades Every Three Years	19
At Least 5 Elementary Grades (1-6) Every Year,	
1 Secondary Grade Every Year	25
At Least 5 Elementary Grades (1-6) Every Year	16
Any 6 Grades Every Year	30
Any 5 Grades Every Year	48
Grades 1, 4, 7, 10 Every Year	117
Any Three Grades Every Year	90
Any Two Grades Every Year	56
One Grade Every Year	50
Other	91
No Response	20

TABLE 6. Frequency of audiometer calibration as reported by 785 school districts.

Frequency of Calibration	No. of Districts
Every Month	3
Every Three Months	13
Every Six Months	30
Every Year	406
Every Two Years	146
Every Three Years	31
As Needed	20
Other	84
No Response	52

Personnel Providing Testing Services

The staff members who usually perform hearing testing services that are provided directly by the local school districts are shown in Table 7. For this question, the respondents were asked to indicate all the types of staff persons who perform such services. It would appear from Table 7 that nurses, teachers, volunteers, etc., plan an important part in the hearing testing program beyond just audiometric screening. There is, of course, the possibility that some of the respondents did not attach the same meaning to the phrase, "special diagnostic tests" as would an audiologist. Therefore, the question of whether or not persons other than audiologists and/or speech pathologists perform special tests of this sort needs further investigation.

The fact that hearing testing may be the responsibility of persons other than speech and hearing personnel is of even greater importance when seen in light of the fact that only 14% of the respondents have supervisors of their hearing testing program who hold national or state certification in audiology.

TABLE 7. Number of school districts that employ the indicated types of staff persons to perform five kinds of hearing testing services which are directly provided by the school districts.

Types		Hearin	g Testing Serv	ices	
of Staff Persons	Audio- metric Screening	Air and Bone Threshold	Special Diagnostic Tests (speech audiometry, etc.)	Hearing Aid Evalua- tions	Periodic Testing of KNOWN Hearing Impaired
Speech or hearing personnel	258	159	130	17	185
Nurs e s	440	93	14	4	196
Teachers	62	22	18	4	37
Volunteers	73	2	2	0	ì
Other	33	10	7	1	8

Education and Ancillary

Approximately 700 respondents provided some information concerning provisions of educational services for students with permanent hearing impairments. Table 8 shows the ways in which these services are provided. Eleven percent of the respondents do not have <u>any</u> hearing impaired students in their districts for whom they are responsible for the provision of educational services. From the marginal comments of a few respondents, it would seem that some persons interpreted "responsible for the provision of educational services" to mean their being able to provide students with the kinds of programs needed.

For example, one respondent from a school district with over 25,000 students stated that there were no hearing impaired students in his district, and added that "there are students with hearing impairment in regular classrooms, however the school system takes no formal steps to provide a special educational program for them." Another respondent from the same population range said "students wearing hearing aids have been participating in regular classrooms." Using Silverman's estimate that one of every two hundred school children is a hard-of-hearing child



TABLE 8. The number of school districts which provide educational services for their hearing-impaired students through the indicated types of facilities, based upon the responses of 715 school districts reporting.

Types of Facilities	No. of Districts
Local School District Only*	234
Other School Districts	59
Schools for the Deaf Only	49
Local and Other School Districts	54
Local School Districts and Schools for the Deaf	129
Local School District, Other School Districts, and Schools for the Deaf	39
Other School Districts and Schools for the Deaf	24
Other Combinations	45
Do Not Have ANY Students with Permanent Hearing Impairments for Whom Districts are Responsible for the Provision of Educational Services	82
TOTAL	715

[&]quot;Services provided by county therapists, students not placed, etc., are included, in the "Local School District" categories.

with particular educational needs, there should be about 470 hard-of-hearing students in these two school districts alone.

Of those school districts that reported educational services for the hard-of-hearing children as coming only through schools for the deaf, 11 have student enrollments of over 25,000, 10 have student enrollments of between 12-25,000, and 10 have between 6-12,000 students. These kinds of responses suggest that individuals answering the questionnaire may not differentiate between permanent hearing impairment and deafness. This idea was also suggested in the comment of a guidance director for a school district that served 13,000 students who stated: "Students with permanent hearing impairment attend the state school for the deaf.... We have no [hearing impaired] students in our public schools."

The kinds of educational services that 416 school districts reported as being able to provide for their hearing-impaired students are shown in Table 9. In most schools, students with permanent hearing impairment attended regular classes. Some of these students receive supplementary help from an itinerant teacher or clinician, and a portion of them spend a part of the day with a teacher of the deaf. Sixty-eight school districts make no special provisions. Of these, 25 are school districts that serve 12,000 or more students.

TABLE 9. Number of school districts that provide 10 kinds of educational services for the hearing-impaired students who are enrolled in their districts.

Kinds of Educational Services N	o. of Districts
Self-contained Day Classes for the Deaf* Only	62
Self-contained Day Classes for the <u>Deaf and Hard of</u> <u>Hearing</u>	93
Self-contained Day Classes for the Hard of Hearing** Onl	у 44
Regular Classes: <u>Hearing Impaired</u> Spend Part of the Day with Teacher of the Deaf	72
Regular Classes: Hearing Impaired Receive Communication Skills Development from Itinerant Tutor or Clinician	228
Regular Classes: Supplementary Help Not Needed (e.g., high school students who no longer need special help except hearing aids)	116
Regular Classes: Supplementary Help <u>Not Available</u> or No Special Programs	68
<pre>Individual Tutoring: Students Taken To School Facility (e.g., preschool)</pre>	16
Home Program: Tutor Goes to the Home (e.g., preschool)	13
Other	11

^{*}Defined as hearing levels for speech for the better ear of 80 dB ASA or worse.

^{**}Defined as hearing levels for speech for the better ear between 25-79 dB ASA.

Almost 200 schools reported that they are not able to provide all of the special educational services that are needed by the hearing impaired students in their districts. Some of these 200 respondents indicated that there are insufficient numbers of hearing impaired-students in their school districts to warrant special programs, others indicated that they have insufficient funds for their programs, and some stated that they were unable to find competent personnel for programs which they would like to develop.

A series of questions were asked which were designed to ascertain the respondent's evaluation of the programs which were currently in progress. One question asked: "Are the majority of the hard-of-hearing students... who are in regular classes... achieving at their potential as determined by psychological tests?" Two hundred ninety-four school representatives answered this question, as seen in Table 10. For 60 out of 164 schools reporting, the answer was no. Interestingly, however, was the finding that more than 120 of the respondents were not able to answer the question.

Personnel Providing Educational Services

The respondents were asked to indicate the types of staff persons who usually perform certain kinds of ancillary services, i.e., auditory training, language training, psychological counseling, speechreading, speech therapy, and vocational counseling, for their hard-of-hearing students. From Table 11, it can be seen that the ancillary services which might be broadly classified as "communication skills development" are usually provided by speech clinicians. The relative qualifications of a speech clinician providing these services to hard-of-hearing children, as compared with the qualifications of an educator of the deaf

TABLE 10. Responses to the question: "Are the majority of the hard-of-hearing students... who are in regular classes... achieving at their potential as determined by psychological tests?" Based upon the replies of 294 school districts.

Responses	No. of Districts
Yes	104
No	60
Information not available	116
Other	14

TABLE 11. Number of school districts that utilize the indicated types of staff persons to perform seven kinds of ancillary services.

Kinds of Ancillary		Types	of Staff	Persons	
Services	Audi~ ologist	Speech Pathol- ogist	Teacher of the Deaf	Psychol- ogist or Social Worker	Other (Specify)
Auditory Training	11	118	79		9
Language Training	6	114	78		12
Psychological Counseling (child)	5	20	21	103	3
Psychological Counseling (parent)	6	25	18	101	5
Speechreading	7	127	71		12
Speech Therapy	5	197	29		10
Vocational Counseling	1	11	11		97

or audiologist providing these services, could be debated. The figures from Table II probably reflect the greater prevalence of speech clinicians in the school systems of this country.

Site Visits

Ten schools which had returned completed questionnaires were selected as sites for a one-day visit by the project director. At each location, the person who had filled out the questionnaire was interviewed, along with his superior, when possible. The schools ranged in size from a student population of 128,000 to 3,500. All visits were conducted in the spring of 1969.

Two lines of questioning were followed in each visit. The first had to do with identification procedures and practices; the second had to do with the educational provisions for hard-of-hearing children.

Concerning identification procedures, questions were asked such as: "Who conducts the audiometric screening for your school?" "What grades

are screened annually?" "What are the qualifications of the personnel conducting the screening?" "What follow-up procedures are used for children who fail the screening test?" "Who conducts the follow-up?" and "What criteria are used to define failure of the screening test?" Many of these questions were asked on the questionnaire. The verbal responses were checked against the previously written responses, and when descrepancies appeared, more questions were asked in order to determine the reasons for the differences. In general, the verbal responses were consistent with those from the questionnaires.

An interesting finding having to do with follow-up procedures for children who had failed the screening test, emerged from the site visits that had not come from the questionnaires. In one system, students who failed the initial screening were given an audiometric threshold test by the school personnel. Failure of this test, by their own criteria, resulted in the child's being taken to the local speech and hearing clinic for a complete audiologic and otologic evaluation. This was done for all children, without prior consent of the parents of the child. The director of the progrom stated that the philosophy of their school was that the hearing-impaired child needed this kind of treatment. To rely on the parents to provide the child with necessary examinations too often resulted in the child's not being seen by the appropriate specialists. This procedure was not employed in any of the other systems visited.

The procedure used by the school mentioned in the paragraph above stands in bold contrast to another school where the child who failed the screening test was given a note to take home to the parents. The note stated that the child did not pass the audiometric screening test and it was the nurse's recommendation that the child be seen by the "family physician." There was no follow-up by the nurse to see if the child ever received any special care.

In one school, housewives were hired for several weeks each year for the purpose of conducting audiometric screening. The same women had worked for the school in this way for several years. The director of the program spoke confidently of their ability to perform screening tests, although he indicated that they might have a tendency to overrefer. The children who failed the screening by these women were given a complete audiometric threshold test by qualified audiologists.

In general, the personnel of the schools visited seemed aware of the need to identify hearing loss in the children they serve.

The line of questioning having to do with educational provisions for hearing-impaired children demonstrated considerably less awareness on the part of the respondents. The kinds of questions asked included: "What facilities do you feel are lacking in the provision of special services to your hearing-impaired children?" "What services are you now



providing for these children?" "What are the qualifications of the personnel providing these services?" "What criteria are used for inclusion of a given child into the special classes for the hard-of-hearing?" and "What changes do you invision for the future of this program?"

Several of the larger systems had exciting, innovative programs for their hard-of-hearing children. They possessed the facilities and staff to meet the special educational needs of these children, and the administrators of the programs were aware of changes that could make their programs even better. The members of the systems where the student population was not too large (under 14,000) were generally not aware of the educational needs of these children. Too often, the responses from the person being interviewed in these medium-to-small systems moved toward a discussion of the problems of deaf children. This, too, was evident from the questionnaire responses.

Rural areas have a specific problem which is not as important in the urban school system -- transportation. When the hearing-impaired children are distributed over several counties and there is one program for the whole area, the hard-of-hearing child is likely to get short-changed. At least two of the persons interviewed recognized this problem but had not been able to resolve it at the local level.

A major conclusion which resulted from the site visits was that there is a need for an intense educational campaign, on behalf of the hard-of-hearing child, to inform school administrators and directors of pupil personnel services of their special educational needs. This idea was expressed by the director of a medium-sized school program in the Midwest who stated: "I request, threaten, cajole and beg the administration for more money for the hard-of-hearing kids. It does no good. I wish someone would carry the torch for them like several organizations have for the deaf."

Research Needs

An open-ended question asked the respondents to cite areas for needed research in the handling of hearing-impaired children. Approximately 75 school representatives took time to elaborate on this question. The greatest number of responses were concerned with the need for evaluating presently used educational techniques (software). Several suggestions had to do with an evaluation of equipment (hardware), and a number of recommendations concerned the need for models of delivery systems in the schools.

Discussion

It appeared obvious from the results of this study that more emphasis is being placed upon the identification of children with hearing impairments than is being placed upon the education and continued revaluation of these students.



The nation's school district administrations seem aware of the importance of identifying hearing loss as an important component in the child's educational development. Virtually all districts surveyed are attempting to identify children with substandard hearing. The effectiveness of this identification program might be questioned on several accounts. First of all, although all schools seem to conduct screening, only about half of these districts provide for any other kind of hearing testing services. Secondly, in three-fourths of the schools, audiometer calibration was checked only once a year, or less frequently. reports in the literature have demonstrated that accuracy of portable audiometers is much more variable than may have been realized several years ago. Thirdly, the qualifications of the persons responsible for the provision of testing services might be problematical. There is no question that nurses, teachers, and volunteers can be instructed in various audiometric testing techniques. One must wonder, however, whether adequate supervision is being provided to assure valid and reliable results.

Some respondents had little or no understanding of the special educational needs of hard-of-hearing children. This was evident from their responses to specific questions, from notes written into the margins of their questionnaires, and from the site visits.

The most frequent type of special educational service which is provided to hard-of-hearing children enrolled in regular schools is communication skills development. This service is usually performed by clinicians who hold national or state certification in speech pathology. This finding emphasizes the importance of including course work and practicum in audiology, speechreading, and speech therapy for the hearing-impaired in the curriculum of training programs in speech pathology.

The site visits confirmed the impression derived from the questionnaires that there is a serious lack of understanding for the special educational needs of children who are neither deaf nor have normal hearing, but who fall in the area between these rather clearly defined ends of of the continuum. This lack of appreciation for the hearing-impaired child is especially seen in the small and medium sized school systems.

Two major items emerged as important from an open-ended question concerning research needs. First, there is a stated need for a careful evaluation of both software (teaching techniques) and hardware (equipment) being used with hard-of-hearing children. Second, there was a reiteration of the idea expressed by the state department respondents concerning the need for the development of models of delivery systems within school programs.



SURVEY OF FACILITIES FOR THE DEAF

One hundred thirteen facilities for the deaf and hard of hearing were included in this phase of the study. Public and private residential schools for the deaf, public and private day schools for the deaf, and private day classes for the deaf were included in this group. Seventy-eight usable responses (81%) were returned.

Student Population

The number of students enrolled in the 78 facilities which reported for the 1967-68 academic year was 15,263. Of these, 34% were reported as having hearing levels for speech in the mild-to-moderate range, 25-79 dB ASA. Table 12 shows the numbers of students attending schools for the deaf, divided according to extent of hearing impairment.

Because the degree of hearing impairment is not the only determinant of how a hearing-impaired child will function educationally, the respondents were asked to give the number of students they considered to be "educationally hard-of-hearing." Sixty-four respondents to this question reported a total of 2,795 students as being in this category -- 18% of the total student enrollment for the 78 facilities for the deaf reported in this study. Of these 64 respondents who reported having students whom they considered to be "educationally hard-of-hearing," only 28 reported that they provide special classes for these students. Several other respondents who did not provide separate classes for the hard-of-hearing students indicated, through notes in the margins of the questionnaires, that they try to make appropriate adjustments in their program for these children.

TABLE 12. Number of students with hearing levels between 25 and 79 dB ASA, as reported by 78 facilities for the deaf and hard of hearing.

Extent of Hearing Impairment	No. of Students
25-39 dB ASA	167
40-59	956
60-79	3,293
25-79*	- 731

^{*}Four respondents were unable to provide information by the three-level breakdown of hearing impairment.



TABLE 13. Most frequent reasons for students with hearing levels for speech for the better ear between 25-79 dB being referred to facilities for the deaf. Referral reasons were ranked "!" (most frequent), "2" (second most frequent) and "3" (third most frequent) by the respondents.

Referral Reason	11111	''2''	11311
Academic Failure in Hard-of-Hearing Program in Local School District	8	4	4
Academic Failure in Regular Class in Local School District	31	10	6
Completed Program(s) in Local District	1	2	1
Family Circumstances		3	2
Lack of Communication Abilities	6	16	6
Location of Program	1	3	2
Multiple Handicaps	2	4	7
No Program for Hearing Impaired in Local School Districts	20	14	8
Retarded Social Development			7
Unable to Learn to Communicate Orally	3	1	7
0 the r	1	2	2

In an effort to determine why students who are educationally hard-of-hearing are referred to schools for the deaf, the respondents were asked to cite the three most frequent reasons for referral. Table 13 shows the results of that question. From that table it can be seen that the most frequent referral reasons are academic failure in regular classes, no programs for hearing-impaired students in their local school districts, and lack of communication skills.

A median of 7-8 students per class were reported by the 78 facilities. Table 14 shows these data. It should be noted that not all facilities have classes for preschool through secondary school students, and therefore, the columns do not total 78.

TABLE 14. Average class size by grade level as reported by 78 facilities for the deaf.

	Grade Levels				
Class Size	Preschool	K-3	4-6	7-8	9-12
ll or more		1	5	3	6
9 - 10	4	11	13	16	14
7 - 8	26	41	38	34	24
6 or less	28	19	10	6	4

Personnel

Table 15 presents the number and percentages of facilities for the deaf that employ at least one teacher of the deaf with CEASD certification, and at least one audiologist (or audiometrist) with state or national certification. Most schools for the deaf would employ more than one professional staff member; however, this question was an attempt to determine how many schools have no teachers whom the Conference of Executives recognize as having optimum training. From Table 15 it can be seen that more than 20% of the facilities which reported do not have a teacher with this certification. This may be a reflection of a generalized manpower shortage, or it may represent a trend for certified teachers to be attracted to and hired by facilities where there are other certified staff members.

The manpower shortages were emphasized in response to a question dealing with staff needs where 45% of the respondents reported they would give highest priority to employing more teachers of the deaf if money were no object.

It is encouraging to see, from Table 15, that almost one-half of the facilities for the deaf employ an audiologist or audiometrist. This was not a common employment environment for audiologists ten, or even five, years ago.

Admission Requirements

One section of the questionnaire dealt with requirements for admission to each particular school for the deaf. Almost 40% of the respondents indicated that a minimum degree of hearing impairment is one of

TABLE 15. Number and percentage of the 78 facilities for the deaf that employ the indicated types of professional staff.

Types of Staff Persons	Do Employ	Do Not Employ	No Response
Supervisor of Educational Services	60 (77%)	15 (19%)	3 (4%)
Teacher of the Deaf (CEASD certified)	54 (69%)	17 (22%)	7 (9%)
Audiologist (including audiometrist with State certification)	36 (46%)	38 (49%)	4 (5%)

their admission requirements. A few of these respondents stated that the degree of hearing impairment need not be the same for all students. Forty-eight of the facilities (61%) do not require a minimum degree of hearing impairment for admission. One respondent did not answer this question. Table 16 shows the number and percentage of facilities for

TABLE 16. Number and percentage of facilities for the deaf that require the indicated evaluations before hard-of-hearing students are admitted to their schools.

Types of Evaluation	N	%
Academic	59	76
Audiologic	72	92
Communication Skills	41	52
Hearing Aid Fitting	39	50
Neurologic	13	17
Ophthalmologic	13	17
Otologic	53	68
Pediatric	52	67
Psychologic	58	74
0 the rs	10	13

TABLE 17. Number and percentage of facilities for the deaf that utilize the indicated types of staff persons to determine the kinds of programs needed by hearing-impaired students.

Types of Staff Persons	N	%
Audiologists	51	65
Principals	73	93
Psychologists	48	61
Social Workers	13	17
Speech Clinicians	11	14
Teachers of the Deaf	67	86
Staff Persons from Another Facility	13	17

the deaf that routinely require certain evaluations before students are admitted to their schools. From Table 16 it can be seen that six respondents did not check audiologic evaluation. It is possible that these six persons interpreted audiologic evaluation to mean an accurate threshold measurement. Considering the importance of vision to the hard-of-hearing student, the rather small number of facilities for the deaf that require an ophthalmologic evaluation is somewhat surprising.

A related question dealt with the types of staff persons who usually determine the kinds of programs needed by hearing-impaired students. These data are shown in Table 17. Although it cannot be seen from Table 17, in 61 of the facilities for the deaf, three or more different staff persons have the combined responsibility for determination of the program needs of the child.

Discussion

Children with hearing losses in the mild-to-moderate range are referred to schools for the deaf primarily because they have met failure in the regular academic class work of their local school districts, because there are no programs for the hearing-impaired in the local school districts, and because of their lack of communication skills. When these facts are combined with the finding that only 28 of the 78



facilities for the deaf provide separate classes for hard-of-hearing students, it appears, once again that the child whose hearing falls in the area between normal-and-deaf is the neglected handicapped individual.

This sentiment was also brought out by the comments written in the margins of the questionnaires. A principal of a state residential school for the deaf stated, "...most of our students have hearing impairments greater than 80 dB. For the few whose impairments are less, it is difficult to plan a special program." Another respondent commented: "...In our schools for the deaf we are enrolling an increasing number of hard-of-hearing pupils. We are making of these students deaf children.... A superintendent of a state residential and day school for the deaf had this comment: "Approximately 1/3 of our student body should be in classes for the hard-of-hearing in public schools. Classes like these are just not available." From a private residential school for the deaf the principal stated, "An increasing number of hard-ofhearing children are applying for admission to our school after failing in the regular public school classes for children with normal hearing. It appears that insufficient help and counseling or coordination is being conducted between the local school administrators and needs of the hearing-impaired."

SURVEY OF SPEECH AND HEARING CENTERS

All known speech and hearing centers were sent questionnaires concerning their provision of services for hard-of-hearing children between the ages of 0-21 years. Six hundred twenty-two responses (67%) were returned, and of these, 415 clinics indicated that they do provide services for this population. The information from the 415 respondents, then, comprises the major portion of this section of the report.

Hearing Testing Services

Of the 415 clinics that replied, 406 (98%) indicated that their centers provide some hearing testing services. Three hundred eighteen (77%) provide at least one of the seven kinds of special diagnostic tests shown in Table 18. Speech audiometry is the most usual kind of special diagnostic hearing test that speech and hearing centers are able to provide.

A related question dealt with the types of staff persons who usually perform five kinds of hearing testing services. The responses to this question are shown in Table 19. From this Table it can be seen that even in speech and hearing centers persons other than audiologists also perform the more difficult kinds of hearing tests (i.e., special diagnostic tests and hearing aid evaluations). This may be representative of the growing trend in the profession toward the use of supportive personnel. The large number of students who perform these hearing

TABLE 18. Number and percentage of speech and hearing centers that provide seven kinds of special diagnostic hearing tests.

Special Diagnostic Hearing Tests	N	. %
Speech Audiometry	316	78
Bekesy	200	49
SISI	264	65
Loudness Balance	273	67
PGSR	1 79	44
EEG	50	12
ENG	37	9

TABLE 19. The number of speech and hearing centers that employ the indicated types of staff persons to perform five kinds of hearing testing services. Each respondent could check as many types of staff persons as was appropriate for his clinic.

Types of Staff	Hearing Testing Services						
Persons	Screen-	Air & Bone Thresholds	Special Diag- nostic	Hearing Aid Eval.	Periodic Testing*		
Audiologists (ASHA Cert.)	219	297	301	255	270		
Audiometrists (State Cert.)	22	18	10	4	15		
Speech Patholo- gists (ASHA Cert.)	245	150	46	17	101		
Speech Clinicians (State Cert.)	76	38	10	4	20		
Teachers of the Deaf (CEASD)	13	10	4	2	8		
Teachers of the Deaf (State)	11	7	1	2	5		
Nurses	6	3	2	1	3		
Students	58	45	27	21	34		
Other	26	20	9	8	15		

^{*}Periodic testing of known hearing impaired.

tests represent the fact that a portion of the clinics responding are located in college and university training programs where students receive practicum experience.

Only 244 respondents answered the question concerning the supervisor of hearing testing services. This, perhaps, was not an appropriate question for a survey of speech and hearing clinics since many employ persons who hold the Certificate of Clinical Competence in Audiology from the ASHA, and these persons do not require supervision. In spite of the shortcomings of the question itself, it is interesting

that 34 of the respondents (14%) reported that the person who supervises hearing testing services does not hold state or national certification in audiology.

In response to the question about calibration of audiometers, the majority of the respondents indicated calibration at least yearly. Six percent of the clinics indicated that their audiometers were calibrated about every two years.

Education and Ancillary Services

The kinds of educational and ancillary programs for hard-of-hearing children that were provided by speech and hearing centers during the 1967-68 academic year or the 1967 fiscal year are shown in Table 20. As expected, communication skills development is the kind of program most likely to be provided in the speech and hearing centers.

The respondents were asked if their clinics provided educational and/or ancillary services for preschool children. A total of 279 clinics (67%) reported that they did provide these services for children below the age of six years. Only six clinics provide services of this nature for children in the 0-3 year age range. This is in contrast to the report from 300 clinics that they provide hearing testing services for children in the age range of 0-3 years.

TABLE 20. The number of speech and hearing centers that provide the indicated kinds of programs for hard-of-hearing children in the 1967-68 academic year or the 1967 fiscal year.

Kinds of Programs	N
Self-contained Day Classes for the Deaf and Hard-of- Hearing (1/2 day or more)	35
Self-contained Day Classes for the Hard-of-Hearing Only (1/2 day or more)	12
Regular Nursery School and Individual or Small Group Communication Skills Development Services	63
Individual or Small Group Communication Skills Development Services	291
Home Program: tutor or therapist going to the home	19
Others	11

TABLE 21. The number of speech and hearing centers that reported that audiclogists, speech pathologists, or teachers of the deaf usually perform five services for hard-of-hearing children.

	Type of Staff Person			
Services	Audiclogist	Speech Pathologist	Teacher of Deaf	
Academic Tutoring	. 13	24	42	
Auditory Training	111	136	58	
Language Training	57	1 79	70	
Speechreading	92	157	57	
Speech Therapy	17	289	12	

The type of staff person who usually performs certain educational and ancillary services for hard-of-hearing children is shown in Table 21. In this question the respondents were asked to check only one type of staff person for each kind of service performed. Some respondents checked more than one. When this occurred, the type of staff person judged to be most qualified to perform the service was coded for analysis. From Table 21 it can be seen that speech pathologists usually perform most of the services for the hard-of-hearing child. This is probably due to the greater number of speech clinicians available to perform services in clinics. However, it suggests again the importance for inclusion of auditory training, speechreading, and language training with hard-of-hearing cases in the academic and practicum course work of students in training as speech pathologists.

The respondents for speech and hearing centers were asked about the availability of other programs for hard-of-hearing children who complete the programs that they provide. This question was asked on the assumptions that speech and hearing centers are primarily responsible for the preschool child and that the responses to this question would give some indication of the continuity of training. Table 22 shows the number and percentage of clinics which reported that appropriate programs are, or are not available from other agencies for the hard-of-hearing children who complete their programs. Although the responses did not allow for elaboration of the 'Yes, but only for some children' answers, one might speculate that the children for whom programs are not available are those whose hearing falls in the mild-to-moderate loss range.

TABLE 22. The number and percentage of speech and hearing clinics reporting on the availability of appropriate programs through other agencies in the community, following completion of the program of services offered through the clinic.

Availability of Programs	N	%	
Yes, other programs are available	143	42	
Yes, but only for some children	163	47	
No other programs are available	34	10	
Other	4	1	

Discussion

The primary function of the speech and hearing clinics seems to be in the identification of hearing loss, and the provision of communication skills development services.

It is interesting that of the 662 responses from speech and hearing clinics, only 415 provide any services for hard-of-hearing children. In the clinics where hearing testing services are provided, persons other than audiologists are doing a rather considerable amount of the actual testing. This may be the result of an increasing number of clinics employing supportive personnel, or it may be that there just are not enough audiologists employed in speech and hearing clinics.

CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

A most significant finding of this study was that school systems generally do not provide for the special educational needs of hard-of-hearing children in their communities. This general statement needs to be qualified in order to indicate that some schools, usually those in larger communities, do provide for these children. Unfortunately, the average school system is often not aware of, nor have the funds for, necessary personnel and equipment for children of this type. This finding was borne out by the responses from the school personnel and was reinforced by the statements from the schools for the deaf, speech and hearing clinics, and state departments of education and health.

The schools for the deaf receive many children from the local school districts who are not "deaf," but who are experiencing failure in the regular classrooms of their districts. The schools for the deaf are forced to take these hard-of-hearing children into their deaf-education programs since they provide the only facilities for giving special help Almost one-third of the children in the schools for the deaf are reported to be educationally hard-of-hearing. The respondents from the schools for the deaf reported that they are generally unable to provide the necessary special services for hard-of-hearing children, and instead, place the children in classes with deaf children. Some respondents suggested that this procedure has the effect of forcing these hard-of-hearing children into a mold which will shape the child for the remainder of his life--shape him into a functionally deaf individual.

There is a movement at the present time for speech and hearing clinics to begin training programs for severely hard-of-hearing children at an early age. The Office of Education now funds, and has funded, several "parent-home" projects throughout the country in an effort to attack the communication problems of these children at a very early age The programs are proving successful. The head of one of these programs reported that some of the children who would have previously grown up "deaf," are now approaching their fourth, fifth, and sixth years of life functioning as hard-of-hearing children. This taste of success, however, becomes bitter for the project director who stated that "these children don't have the needed special programs available to them in their local schools. They'll go backward in their progress and end up being functionally deaf again if they don't get help."

An informational gap regarding the special needs of hard-of-hearing children became apparent from much of the discussion between school administrators and program directors. Too frequently the hard-of-hearing child's needs were lumped into the same category as those of the deaf child. This, of course, is a problem for the state schools, not the local school districts.

Several recommendations arise from the findings of this study:



- (1) There appears to be a necessity for greater leadership from the state departments of education and the state departments of health concerning hard-of-hearing children. There are not only too few persons employed by state departments who understand the problems of this segment of the handicapped population, but there is also a generalized lack of leadership from the professional community to effect changes at the state level. The resulting recommendation would be for funds to be made available to provide much needed personnel at the state level who would specialize in the educational needs of the hearing-handicapped child.
- (2) A general educational campaign on behalf of the hard-of-hearing is an important recommendation of this study. The comments of several respondents, as well as the impressions gathered from the site visits, suggest that the special needs of the hard-of-hearing child are neither understood nor differentiated from the needs of the deaf child. There is general understanding that identification of hearing handicaps is important in evaluating the child's educational progress. The problems involved with the child who has already been identified as hard-of-hearing are numerous and as varied as the personnel that staff the programs within the schools.
- (3) Certain specific research needs appeared frequently enough to warrant mention and recommendation:
 - (a) State department personnel indicated that there is a need for models of delivery systems for the provision of testing and educational services for hearing-impaired children. The special geographical complications which isolate hard-of-hearing children from major population centers is a primary obstacle in the state's attempt at developing a satisfactory delivery system.
 - (b) The school personnel recognized the need to assess the techniques and methods currently being used in those programs which have classes for the hard-of-hearing. Not only is there a need to evaluate the instructional techniques (soft-ware), but there also appears to be a lack of hard data concerning the equipment which is being used.
 - (c) Several respondents recommended that the need for direction in the use of supportive personnel in the delivery services to hearing-handicapped children in schools be filled. This cogent recommendation comes at a time when the Federal government and the profession are looking at the possible use of supportive personnel as a means of relieving the significant manpower shortage in this and other allied health and education professions.

The Joint Committee on Audiology and Education of the Deaf suggests that it is in a favorable position to assume a leadership role in



advising the public of the special educational needs of hard-of-hearing children. The Committee will need the continued support of the Office of Education and other Federal agencies concerned with this segment of the handicapped population. The Joint Committee is committed to the philosophy of mutual cooperation and understanding between the professions of audiology and education of the deaf as being the most expeditious method of evolving a viable national program for the hard-of-hearing children of this country.



BIBLIOGRAPHY

- R. P. Mackie, H. M. Williams, and P. P. Hunter, <u>Statistics of Special Education for Exceptional Children and Youth</u>, <u>1957-58</u> (Washington, D. C.: Office of Education).
- ²H. Davis and S. R. Silverman, <u>Hearing and Deafness</u> (New York: Holt, Rinehart & Winston, Inc., 1960).
- 3Threshold Journal of the Utah State Speech and Hearing Association, December, 1966.
- 41. M. Ventry, editor, <u>Audiology and Education of the Deaf</u> (Washington, D.C.: American Speech and Hearing Association, 1965).

APPENDIX

Questionnaires Used in Studies of

State Departments of Health and Education Local School Districts State Schools for the Deaf Speech and Hearing Clinics



State Departments of Health and Education
Questionnaire



A STUDY OF CURRENT PRACTICES IN EDUCATION FOR HARD-OF-HEARING CHILDREN

SECTION I: DESCRIPTION OF AGENCY

SECTION II: HEARING TESTING SERVICES

SECTION III: EDUCATIONAL AND ANCILLARY SERVICES

SECTION IV: LAWS AND REGULATIONS GOVERNING

HEARING SERVICES

Note: You need to complete CNLY those sections and/or questions that pertain to the hearing services that are provided directly by or are administered by your agency.



Address Label

CARD	1			
COL.	1-4	Name	e of respondent	
COL.	5	Posi	tion Title of respondent	
			SECTION I	
			DESCRIPTION OF AGENCY	
		1.	Please indicate the number of staff persons EM agency to provide hearing testing, special edu communication skills development (auditory trareading, language development, etc.) services hearing levels for speech between 25 and 79 dB Include the consultant(s) for your State if he employed by your agency.	cational and/or ining, speech-for children withASA Standard.
			TYPES OF STAFF PERSONS	NUMBER OF EACH TYPE OF STAFF PERSON
COL.	6-7		Audiologists (with ASHA Clinical Competence certification)	
COL.	8-9		Audiologists (with ASHA Basic certification)	
COL.	10-11	L	Audiometrists (with State certification)	- Control of the Cont
COL.	12-13	3	Hearing clinicians (with ASHA Basic certificat	cion)
COL.	14-19	5	Hearing clinicians (with State certification)	And the second of the second o
COL.	16-1	7	Speech pathologists (with ASHA Clinical	
COL.	18-19	9	Competence certification) Speech clinicians (with ASHA Basic certification)	ion)
COL.	20-2	1	Speech clinicians (with State certification)	and adjustance with hope and a sec-
COL.	22-2	3	Teachers of the deaf (with CEASD certification	a)
COL.	24-2	5	Teachers of the deaf (with State certification	n)
COL.	25-2	7	Others (PLEASE SPECIFY:	-
			Total	n1



			If your agency employs a full-time consultant for the hearing testing services in your STATE, please give 1) the type of staff person (audiologist, audiometrist, etc.) employed as consultant, and 2) the type of certification held by the consultant.
COL.	31		Please check here if your agency does not employ a consultant.
COL.	32		Type of staff person employed as consultant
COL.	33-34		Type of certification held by consultant
		3.	If your agency employs a full-time consultant for the special educational and communication skills development services for hard-o hearing children (i.e., children between 0 and 21 years with hearing levels for speech for the better ear between 25 and 79 db-ASA Standard) in your STATE, please give 1) the type of staff person employed as the consultant, and 2) the type of certification held by the consultant.
COL.	35		Please check here if your agency does not employ a consultant.
COL.	36		Type of staff person employed as consultant
COL.	37-38		Type of certification held by consultant
COL.	39	4.	Does your agency maintain a central registry of hearing impaired children in your state? 1
		5.	As of spring 1968, approximately how many children (0 - 21 years) were there in your STATE with hearing levels for speech between 25 and 79 dB (ASA Standard)?
COL.	40-45		Number of children in STATE with hearing levels for speech for the better ear between 25 and 79 dB (ASA Standard)
		5a.	If the information requested in ITEM 5 is not available, as of spring 1968, approximately how many hearing impaired children were there in your STATE?
cor.	46		Please check here if this information (5a) is not available.
COL.	47-52		Number of hearing impaired children

ERIC Full text Provided by ERIC

		6.	What is your TOTAL budget for ALL heard services provided DIRECTLY by your ager by your agency, programs ADMINISTERED bursements to school districts, etc., after the 1967-68 academic year (or 1967)	ncy, services purchased by your agency, and reim made through your agency
COL.	53		Please check here if you are fiscal year.	reporting for 1967
COL.	54-59		\$Total budget for hearing serv	rices
		6a.	Of your total budget for hearing service allocated for EACH of the following kindicate the percent for EACH kind of services.	ids of services? Pleand
COL.	60-61		Salaries	<u></u> &
COL.	62-63		Services purchased a) Speech and hearing b) Medical, surgical,	<u></u> &
COL.	64-65		hospitalization, etc.	<u> </u>
CCL.	66-67		Hearing aids	<u> </u>
COL.	68-69		Equipment and materials	
COL.	70-71		Other (PLEASE SPECIFY: .	
				<u> </u>
				100%

Note: Please be sure that the five or six percentages given total 100%.

SECTION II

HEARING TESTING SERVICES

7. Please indicate how EACH kind of hearing testing service, for which your agency is responsible, is PROVIDED FOR by your agency Check the ONE method of provision used most often for EACH kind of service.

	HEARING TESTING SERVICES	METHODS OF PROVISION			
		Provided Directly By Agency	Purchased From Other Agencies (Clinics, other state depts., etc.)	Not Provided	
COL. 72	a. Audiometric Screening		2	3	
COL. 73	b. Air and Bone Threshold		2	3	
COL. 74	c. Special Diagnostic Tests (speech audiometry, etc.)		2	3	
COL. 75	d. Hearing Aid Evaluations		2	3	
COL. 76	e. Periodic Testing of Known Hearing Impaired Children		2	3	

\sim	٨	D	D	2	
C	U	\mathbf{r}	Į,		

8.	Please check ALL the age ranges for which your agency has the ADMINISTRATIVE responsibility for the provision of ANY hearing testing services (including services provided DIRECTLY by your agency).

COL. 6	0 -	2	years,	11	months

(If NO hearing testing services are provided DIRECTLY by your agency with its own personnel and facilities, please GO TO SECTION III; otherwise, GO ON TO ITEM 9.)



9. Please indicate the type of staff person who USUALLY performs EACH of the following kinds of hearing testing services that are provided DIRECTLY by your agency (Column 1 under Methods of Provision in ITEM 7).

		TYPES OF STAFF PERSONS	HEARING TESTING SERVICES							
			Audiometric Screening	Air and Bone Thresholds	-	Hearing Aid Evaluations	1			
Դև.	10-14	Audiologists (with ASHA Clinical Com- petence certifica- tion								
CL.	15-19	Audiologists (with ASHA Basic certification)								
л.	20-24	Audiometrists (with State certification)					ت			
TL.	25-29	Hearing clinicians (with ASHA Basic certification)								
$_{ m L}.$	30-34	Hearing clinicians (with State certification)								
`Ĺ.	3 5-3 9	Speech pathologists (with ASHA Clin- ical Competence certification)								
L.	40-44	Speech pathologists (with ASHA Basic certification)								
L.	45-49	Speech clinicians (with State certification)				٥				
L.	50-54	Nurses (with State certification as audiometrists								
æ.	55-59	Nurses		. 🗆						
L.	60 - 64	Others (PLEASE SPECIFY:								
L.	65-69)								
	*Periodic testing of KNOWN hearing impaired									

		10.	If your agency employs a supervisor for the hearing testing services provided <u>DIRECTLY</u> by your agency, please give 1) the type of staff person who supervises such services, and 2) the type of certification held by your supervisor.
COL.	70		Please check here if your agency does not employ such a supervisor.
COL.	71		Type of staff person who supervises hearing testing services
cor.	72-73		Type of certification held by your supervisor
CARD	3.		
		11.	Please list in order of frequency of referral, the THREE types of referral sources (local school districts, other state agencies, etc.) from which your agency most frequently receives referrals for hearing testing services.
COL.	6		
COL.	7		
COL.	8		
		12.	If your agency provides hearing SCREENING services, do you provide such services for children in programs for the mentally retarded, emotionally disturbed, brain damaged, etc.?
COL.	ĝ		Please check here if your agency does not provide hearing SCREENING services and GO TO ITEM 13.
COL.	10		YES NO



	13.	If special diagnostic testing services are provided by your agency, please check ALL the tests your agency is able to provide.
COL. 11		Please check here if your agency does not provide such tests and GO TO ITEM 15.
COL. 12		Speech audiometry
COL. 13		☐ Békésy
COL. 14		□ sisi
COL. 15		Loudness balance
CCL. 16		PGSR
COL. 17		□ EEG
COL. 18		□ ENG
COL. 19	14.	If your agency provides hearing aid evaluations, do you require that a child be given hearing aid orientation? By Please check here if your agency does not provide such evaluations and GO TO ITEM 15. YES (PLEASE DESCRIBE your orientation procedures)
		YES, in selected cases (PLEASE DESCRIBE your orientation procedures)
		No No

COL. 20	15.	If periodic testing of the KNOWN hearing impaired is provided by your agency, please check below how often such tests are given. Check here if such tests are not provided by your agency and GO TO ITEM 16. Semi-annually Annually Other (SPECIFY:
)
	16.	If your agency requires evaluations in addition to the audiologic evaluation for children (0 - 21 years) with hearing levels for speech between 25 and 79 dB (ASA Standard), please check ALL the additional evaluations you ROUTINELY require.
COL. 21		Check here if additional evaluations are not <u>ROUTINELY</u> required and GO TO ITEM 17.
COL. 22		Academic (school-age children)
COL. 23		Neurologic
COL. 24		Ophthalmologic
COL. 25		Otologic
COL. 26		Pediatric
COL. 27		Psychologic
COL. 28		Others (PLEASE SPECIFY:
)



COL.	29	17.	Does your agency use the terms hard-of-hearing and deaf?
COL.	30-31		YES (If applicable, PLEASE SPECIFY the dB level for speech you use to differentiate between hard-of-hearing and deaf:
		17a.	If your agency employs criteria in addition to the degree of hearing impairment to differentiate between hard-of-hearing and deaf, please number the following differentiating factors in order of importance (1 most important, 4 least important).
COL.	32		Academic achievement
COL.	33		☐ Communication abilities
COL.	34		Degree of hearing impairment
COL.	35		Social development
COL.	36		Others (PLEASE SPECIFY:
COL.	37		
		18.	Approximately what percent of the children for whom your agency DIRECTLY provided hearing SCREENING services failed the screening testing this academic year (1967-68), or the 1967 fiscal year?
COL.	38	-	Please check here if you are reporting for the 1967 fiscal year.
COL.	39-40		Percent failed the SCREENING test



COL.	41	19.	About how often is the calibration of your audiometers checked with calibration test equipment? Please check ONE of the following. Levery month Every three months Every six months Every year Every two years Every three years Other (SPECIFIC
COL.	42	19a.	Other (SPECIFY:
COL.	43	20.	Is your agency able to provide for ALL the hearing testing services needed by children between 0 and 21 years in your state who are not being served by other state agencies, local school districts, etc.? 1 YES 2 NO (Why not?)

SECTION III

EDUCATIONAL AND ANCILLARY SERVICES

		21.	For how many hearing impaired children in each of the following age ranges does your agency have the ADMINISTRATIVE responsibility for the provision of educational and/or communication skills development services (including services provided DIRECTLY by your agency)?
COL.	44		Please check here if your agency has no such responsibility and GO TO SECTION IV.
COL.	45-48		0 - 2 years, 11 months
COL.	49-52		3 - 5 years, 11 months
COL.	53-56		6 - 12 years, 11 months
COL.	57-60		13 - 20 years, 11 months
		21a.	Approximately how many of the TOTAL number of hearing impaired children (ITEM 22) for whom your agency has the ADMINISTRATIVE responsibility for the provision of educational and/or communication skills development services have hearing levels for speech for the better ear between 25 and 79 dB (ASA Standard)?
COL.	61-66		Number of children with hearing levels for speech for the better ear between 25 and 79 dB (ASA Standard)
			(If your agency does not provide DIRECTLY with its own personnel and facilities any special educational or communication skills development services, please GO TO SECTION IV; otherwise, GO ON TO ITEM 22.)



22. Please indicate the kinds of programs your agency provides DIRECTLY with its own personnel and facilities for hard-of-hearing children (i.e., children with hearing levels for speech for the better ear between 25 and 79 dB--ASA Standard) by indicating the NUMBER of children who are being served by EACH kind of program this academic year (1967-68), or the 1967 fiscal year.

	KINDS OF PROGRAMS	AGE RANGES				
		0 - 2*	3 - 5	6 - 13	14 - 20	
COL. 67	Self-contained day classes for deaf and hard-of-hearing (1/2-day or more)					
COL. 68	Self-contained day classes for the hard-of-hearing only (1/2-day or more)					
COL. 69	Regular nursery school and in- dividual or small group com- munication skills development services					
COL. 70	Individual or small group com- munication skills development services (less than 1/2-day)				-	
COL. 71	Home program: tutor or therapist goes to the home					
COL. 72	Others (PLEASE SPECIFY:					
)					
	* 0 - 2: 0 years - 2 years, 11 mor	oths, etc	; ,			

^{* 0 - 2: 0} years - 2 years, 11 months, etc.



C.	A	R	D	4	
_			_	•	•

23.	What percent of the hard-of-hearing children who are being provided services DIRECTLY by your agency wear hearing aids? I indicate the percent for EACH age range.	
	AGE RANGES	

				AGE	RANGES	
			0 - 2*	3 - 5	6 - 13	14 - 21
COL. 6-17		Percent who wear hearing aids	<u>8</u>	~~~%	%	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
		* 0 - 2: 0 years through 2 year	rs, ll mo	onths, e	tc.	
COL. 18	24.	Are appropriate programs available hard-of-hearing children who cold a YES 2 YES, for some children 3				For the
COL. 19		NO (Why not?)				
	25.	If your agency employs a super for hard-of-hearing children, persons (audiologist, audiomet services, and 2) the type of c visor.	please garist, etc	ive l) t c.) who	he type o: supervise:	f staff s such
COL. 20		Please check here if a supervisor and GO	-	-	s not emp	loy such
COL. 21		Type of staff person services	who sup	ervises	the educa	tional
COL. 22-2	3	Type of certification	n held b	y your s	upervisor	



	26. If your agency children, pleasus USUALLY perfor	ase indicate t	the ONE type	of staff pe	rd-of-heari	ng
CO5. 24		ck here if suc your agency an			vided DIREC	TLY
	KINDS OF SERVICES		TYPES OF ST	AFF PERSONS		SERVICI
		Audiologist*	; •	Teacher of the Deaf	Other (Specify)	PROVID
COL. 25	Auditory training		2 2	3 3	4	5 5
COL. 26	Language training		2 2	3 3	4	<u>5</u> 5
COL. 27	Psychological counseling (child)					
COL. 28	Psychological counseling	占	2	3	<u> </u>	5
COL. 29	(parent) Speechreading		2	3]	4	5
COL. 30	Speech therapy			3	4	5
COL. 31	Vocational counseling			Ů		
	*Individual HOLDS No etc.	ATIONAL OR ST	ATE certifica	ation in aud	diology or	hearing
	27. If your agency development so of staff personauch services supervisor.	ervices for hon (audiologic	ard-of-hearin st, audiometi	ng, please (rist, etc.)	give 1) the who superv	type ises
COL. 32		ase check her upervisor and	-		ot employ s	uch
COL. 33		e of staff pe elopment serv	-	ervises com	munication	skills
COL. 34-35	Тур	e of certific	ation held by	y your supe	rvisor	

ERIC

**Full Text Provided by ERIC

	28.	Please list in order of frequency of referral, the THREE types of referral sources (local school districts, other state agencies, etc from which your agency most frequently receives referrals for special educational and/or communication skills development services for children with hearing levels for speech between 25 and 79 dB (ASA Standard).
COL. 36		
COL. 37		
COL. 38		
	29.	As a rule, who determines the kind of programs needed by band of-hearing children? Please check ALL personnel involved.
COL. 39		Audiologist(s)
COL. 40		Hearing clinician(s)
COL. 41		Psychologist(s)
COL. 42		☐ Social worker(s)
COL. 43		Speech clinician(s)
COL. 44		Teacher(s) of the deaf
COL. 45		Staff person(s) from another facility (PLEASE SPECIFY:
COL. 46	29a.	Please check if the program placement for hard-of-hearing children is reviewed at regular intervals. (How often?
COL. 47)

	30.	If your agency provides both special educational and communication skills development services for SCHOOL-AGE hard-of-hearing children, please number in order of importance (1 most important, 5 least important), the factors that determine the KIND of services needed by a hard-of-hearing child.
COL. 48		Please check here if your agency does not provide both kinds of services and GO TO ITEM 31.
COL. 49		Academic achievement
COL. 50		Communication abilities
COL. 51		Degree of hearing impairment
COL. 52		Family cooperation
COL. 53		Social development
COL. 54		Other (PLEASE SPECIFY:
)
	31.	Does your agency have a contract or an agreement for the main- tenance and repair of your auditory training equipment?
COL. 55		Check here if your agency does not have any auditory training equipment and GO TO ITEM 32.
COL. 56 COL. 57-58	3	YES (How often is it checked?) NO
	32.	Is your agency able to provide for ALL the special educational and/or communication skills development services needed by children with hearing levels for speech for the better ear between 25 and 79 dB (ASA Standard) in your state who are not being served by other state agencies, local school districts, etc.?
COL. 59		YES
COL. 60		NO (Why not?)



SECTION IV

LAWS AND REGULATIONS GOVERNING HEARING SERVICES

(WE WOULD APPRECIATE YOUR SENDING US A COPY OF THE SECTION OF YOUR SCHOOL CODE AND/CR STATE LAW THAT PERTAINS TO SERVICES FOR HARD-OF-HEARING CHILDREN [I.E., CHILDREN WITH HEARING LEVELS FOR SPEECH FOR THE BETTER EAR BETWEEN 25 AND 79 dB--ASA STANDARD], AND ANY OTHER INFORMATION THAT DESCRIBES YOUR SERVICES FOR HARD-OF-HEARING CHILDREN.)

COL.	61	33.	Is there a state law that requires hearing services (hearing testing, special educational, etc.) for children?
			YES, hearing testing and special educational services
			YES, hearing testing services only
			YES, special educational services only
			□ NO

PART A: HEARING TESTING SERVICES

34. If your agency has the PRIMARY ADMINISTRATIVE responsibility for the provision of hearing testing services for children in your STATE, please complete the table below for the SCREENING STANDARDS specified by your state laws and/or agency regulations (e.g., Passfail dB level criterion: 25dB in 2 or more frequencies, etc.)

CGL. 62

Please check here if your agency does not have the

PRIMARY ADMINISTRATIVE responsibility for the provision

of SCREENING services and GO TO ITEM 34a.

	HEARING SCREENING STANDARDS	STATE LAW	AGENCY REGULATIONS
COL. 63-64	a. Pass-fail dB level criterion		
COL. 65-66	b. Frequencies to be screened		
COL. 67-68	c. Periodicity of screening		
COL. 69-70	d. Mandatory age for testing		
COL. 71-72	e. Permissive age for testing		
COL. 73-74	f. Qualifications of personnel (no. of training hrs. in testing)		
COL. 75-76	g. Frequency of audi- ometer calibration		

agency does not have the PRIMARY

ADMINISTRATIVE responsibility for the provision of hearing testing services, other than screening services, and GO TO

PART B, PAGE 21; otherwise, GO ON TO ITEM 35.



CARD 5.		
COL. 6	35.	Do your state laws or agency regulations require an otologic evaluation for hearing impaired children? YES, state law YES, agency regulation NO
COL. 7	36.	Do your state laws or agency regulations require periodic testing of hearing impaired children?
COL. 8		YES, state law (How often?)
COL. 9		YES, agency regulation (How often?) NO
	37.	If your state laws or agency regulations provide for funds for the purchase of hearing aids, do the laws or regulations also provide for hearing aid orientation for children who are fitted with hearing aids?
COL. 10		Please check here if your state does not provide funds for the purchase of hearing aids and GO TO ITEM 38.
COL. 11		1
COL. 12		YES, state law (PLEASE DESCRIBE THE ORIENTATION PROCEDURES) YES, agency regulation (PLEASE DESCRIBE THE ORIENTATION
COD. 10		PROCEDURES)



COL.	14	39.	Do your state laws or agency regulations specify the level of competency (e.g., ASHA Clinical Competence in audiology, etc.) for hearing testing (excluding hearing screening) personnel?	
COL.	15-16		YES, state law (PLEASE SPECIFY level of competency:	- `
COL.	17-18		YES, agency regulation (PLEASE SPECIFY level of competency:	-´ `
			3 NO	_'
COL.	19	39.	Do your state laws or agency regulations specify the level of competency (e.g., ASHA Clinical Competence in audiology, etc.) for the supervisor of the hearing testing services?	
COL.	20-21		YES, state law (PLEASE SPECIFY level of competency:	-)
COL.	22-23		YES, agency regulation (PLEASE SPECIFY level of competency:	'- (
			3 NO	-

PART B: EDUCATIONAL AND ANCILLARY SERVICE

If your agency has the PRIMARY ADMINISTRATIVE responsibility for the provision of special educational and/or ancillary services for children with hearing levels for speech between 25 and 79 dB, ASA Standard, please answer the questions in PART B. If your agency has no such responsibility, please GO TO ITEM 48.

COL. 24	40.	Do your state laws or agency regulations provide for a special education advisory committee, or other advisory committee. for hearing impaired children? YES, state law
		YES, agency regulation NO (PLEASE GO TO ITEM 41)

40a. Please list the types of specialists who constitute the special education advisory committee, or other advisory committee, for hearing impaired children. Please indicate the name of the committee and the name of the agency to which the committee reports.



COL.	25	41.	Do your state laws or agency regulations specify a mandatory school age range for children with hearing levels for speech between 25 and 79 dBASA Standard?	
			1	
COL.	26-29		YES, state law (PLEASE SPECIFY: years)	
COL.	30-33		YES, agency regulation (PLEASE SPECIFY: y	ear s
			3 NO	
COL.	34	4la.	Do your state laws or agency regulations specify a permissive school age range for children with hearing levels for speech for the better ear between 25 and 79 dBASA Standard?	
COL.	35-38		YES, state law (PLEASE SPECIFY:years)	
COL.	39-42		YES, agency regulation (PLEASE SPECIFY:yea	rs)
			□ NO	



42.	ed im pl fo	your state laws or agency regulations specify the kinds of ucational and/or ancillary services to be provided for hearing paired children according to degree of hearing impairment, ease describe briefly the kinds of services to be provided r children with the following degrees of hearing impairment?
	a.	HEARING LEVELS FOR SPEECH FOR THE BETTER EAR BETWEEN 25 and 39 dBASA STANDARD
		State Law:
		Agency Regulations:
	b.	HEARING LEVELS FOR SPEECH FOR THE BETTER EAR BETWEEN 40 and 59 dBASA STANDARD
		State Law:
		Agency Regulations:

c. HEARLYG LEVELS FOR SPEECH FOR THE BETTER EAR BETWEEN 60 and 79 dB--ASA STANDARD

State Law:

Agency Regulations:



COL.	43	43.	Do your state laws or agency regulations specify a minimum dB level for admission to a class or a school for the deaf?
COL.	44-45		YES, state law (PLEASE SPECIFY dB level:dBASA Standard)
COL.	46-47		YES, agency regulation (PLEASE SPECIFY dB level:dB ASA Standard) NO
COL.	48	44.	Do your state laws or agency regulations specify the tovel of competency (e.g., CEASD certification, state coeffication, etc.) for teachers of hearing impaired children?
COL.	49-50		YES, state law (PLEASE SPECIFY level of competency:)
COL.	51-52		YES, agency regulation (PLEASE SPECIFY level of competency: NO
		45.	If your state laws or agency regulations specify the NUMBER OF COURSE HOURS (quarter) required IN THE AREA OF HEARING (auditory training, speechreading, language development for the hearing impaired, etc.) of speech and hearing clinicians who work with hearing impaired children, please indicate the minimum number of hours required.
COL.	53		Please check here if your state has no such laws or regulations.
COL.	54-55		Minimum number of hours in hearing (state law)
COL.	56-57	,	Minimum number of hours in hearing (agency regulation)
COL.	58	46.	Do your state laws or agency regulations specify the level of competency (e.g., CEASI) certification, ASHA Clinical Competence in audiology, etc.) for the supervisor(s) of special educational and/or communication skills development services for children with hearing levels for speech for the better ear between 25 and 79 dB-ASA Standard?
COL.	59-60	l	YES, state law (PLEASE SPECIFY level of competency:)
COL.	61-62		YES, agency regulation (PLEASE SPECIFY level of competency:
			NO NO



47. Please describe briefly the research you believe is needed in the areas of hearing testing services and/or educational services for children with hearing levels for speech for the better ear between 25 and 79 dB--ASA Standard.

Please add any comments you would like to make concerning your program and/or any of the material covered in the questionnaire. Thank you for participating in the survey.

We would appreciate greatly your returning the questionnaire by June 5, 1968.

ASHA

Local School Districts
Questionnaire



IF YOUR SCHOOL DISTRICT PROVIDES <u>HEARING TESTING SERVICES</u> ONLY, YOU NEED TO COMPLETE JUST THE PINK SECTION OF THE QUESTIONNAIRE.

Note: The design of our project allows for you to have the questionnaire completed by the member of your staff who is directly responsible for the supervision of your program for hearing impaired students, should you desire to do so.



Address	Label
---------	-------

A STANKEN	CARD 1	<u>l</u>								•				•				
. Xe-maname	CGL.	1-4																
and mental			Name	of respon	ndent													
Silver State Constitution of the State Const	COL.	5 -	· Posi	tion Title	e of re	espondo	ent											
Complete Contact of the Contact of t	•••		•			•				ادائي د ۱۰۰ دائي د د								
edusionskipped a res			1.	As of you (1968), w												he wi	nter	term
and the same of th	COL.	6-9	-			_Total	stud	ent e	enrol	lmer	nt							
A CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF TH			2	CIRCLE 10	was + =	nd hia	has+	arəda	ec i	י אי	ir ec	-hool	die	trict	ni.	srena	rd bo	xes.
enter return	CO 1	10 12		Preschool														
والمجارئة والمعاددات	COL.	10-13	-	Preschool	Κ	1 2		-	J			·	<i>-</i>		·			
of a section of the s					2	3 4	5	6	7	8		2	3	4	<u> </u>	6	<u>↓</u> 7	8
Served leader or part			3.	What is y and debt	our to servic	tal an e, for	nual the	budge curre	et, ent s	inclu schoo	uding olye	g cur ear (rent 1967	expe - 68	nses,	capi	tal o	utlay,
es engançon,	COL.	14-17	•	\$		_Total	annu	ai b	udge	t								
with some time			3a.	What is y	our al	locati	on fo	r Sp	ecia	l Edi	ucati	ion?						
and property france	COL.	18-21	-	\$		_Speci	al Ed	ucat	ion l	oudge	et							
ne a seras de la Co																		
e de la competition della comp			4.	What kind the per d						r sc	hool	dist	rict	serv	ve? P	lease	esti	mate
and me or se	COL.	22-24	~	Urban				<u>%</u>										
S. Sandania	COL.	25-27	-	Suburban				<u>%</u>										
وأردات فيستون	COL.	28-30	-	Rural far	'm			<u>%</u>			•							
Arrest Spirite States	COL.	31-33	-	Rural nor	ıfarm	10	0%	%	Note				sure al 10		t the	four	perce	entages
ERI	<u>i</u> C																	
Full Text Provided	ed by ERIC																	

HEARING TESTING SERVICES

5. Please indicate how EACH kind of hearing testing service listed in the table below is provided (DISREGARD FUNDING) as a rule for your student population. Check the <u>ONE</u> method of provision used most often for EACH kind of service.

	HEARING TESTING SERVICES	METHOD OF PROVISION						
	JERVICES	Directly By School District	By Arrangement With Other Agencies (state departments other schools, clinics, etc.)	Not P≓ovided				
coL. 34	a.Audiometric Screening		2	<u></u> З				
COL. 35	b.Air and Bone Threshold	1	2					
COL. 36	c.Special Diagnostic Tests (speech audiometry,etc.)		2	3				
COL. 37	d.Hearing Aid Evaluations		2	3				
COL. 38	e.Periodic Testing of Known Hearing Impaired Children	1	2	3				

				f your school district provides for hearing testing services for PRESCHOOL hildren, please check ALL the services that are provided.
COL.	39	-		Check here if your district does not provide for such testing services and GO TO ITEM 6.
COL.	40	-	[Audiometric screening
COL.	41	-		Air and bone threshold tests
COL.	42	-	[Special diagnostic tests (speech audiometry, etc.)
COL.	43	-	[Hearing aid evaluations
COL.	44	-	ĺ	Periodic testing of KNOWN hearing impaired



6. Please indicate ALL the types of staff persons (employed by your district or provided by arrangement with other agencies) who USUALLY perform EACH kind of hearing testing service provided for your student population.

		HEARING TESTING SERVICES								
	TYPES OF STAFF PERSONS	Audiometric Screening	Air and Bone Threshold	Special Diagnostic Tests (speech audiometry, etc.)	Hearing Aid Evaluations	Periodic Testing of KNOWN Hearing Impaired				
COL. 45-49	Speech or hearing personnel									
COL. 50-54	 Nurses									
COL. 55-59	Teachers of the deaf									
COL. 60-64	Other special education teachers									
COL. 65-69	Regular teachers									
	Volunteers (PLEASE SPECIFY TYPES BELOW)									
CUL. 70-74										
CARD 2 COL. 5-9										
	Cthers (SPECIFY)									
COL. 10-14										
COL. 15-19										

⁶a. If MORE THAN one type of staff person (including volunteers and others)
USUALLY perform ALL or SOME hearing testing services, please indicate the one
type of staff person who predominantly performs the service(s) by circling the
check mark(s) in ITEM 6.

	7. If YOUR SCHOOL DISTRICT employs a supervisor for your hearing testing services program, please indicate the type of staff person who supervises the program.
C OL. 25	Check here if your school district does not employ such a supervisor and GO TO ITEM 8.
しいし。 47 た た た た た た た た た た た た た た た た た た た	Audiologist (with ASHA Clinical Competence certification)
edina constant	Audiologist (with ASHA Basic certification)
	Audiometrist (with State certification)
	Speech pathologist (with ASHA Clinical Competence certification)
	Speech pathologist (with ASHA Basic certification)
Action (Company)	© Speech clinician (with State certification)
March Harry	Teacher of the deaf (with CEASD certification)
	Teacher of the deaf (with State certification)
	School nurse (with State certification)
resident	Others (PLEASE SPECIFY)
COL. 26	8. Please indicate the periodicity of audiometric screening for the students enrolled in your school district. Check only ONE. All grades every year All grades every two years or alternate grades every year All grades every three years At least 5 elementary grades (1 - 6) every year, 1 secondary grade every year At least 5 elementary grades (1 - 6) every year Grades 1, 4, 7, 10 every year Any three grades every year Any two grades every year One grade every year Other (PLEASE SPECIFY)
COL. 27	8a. Do you provide audiometric screening for students in programs for the mentally retarded, emotionally disturbed, brain damaged, etc.?
ERIC Production (III)	

		9.	Approximately how many students failed the hearing SCREENING test this year (1967 - 68)?
COL. 2	28-32		Number of students who failed the hearing SCREENING test
		10.	If hearing SCREENING services ONLY are provided for your student population, please indicate your follow-up procedure for students who fail the screening test. Check ALL that apply.
	•		Please check here if <u>air and bone threshold</u> tests are provided for your students, and GO TO ITEM 11.
COL.	33		Refer to school physician
			Advise the child's parents
			O Other (PLEASE SPECIFY)
			information not available
COL.	34	11.	Are students who are found to have hearing impairments on the air (and bone) threshold test(s) ROUTINELY referred for an otologic evaluation? YES 2
			NO 3 INFORMATION NOT AVAILABLE
		12.	Approximately how many students were identified this year (1967-68) with the following degrees of hearing impairment? (Do not include those students who were found to have normal hearing on the threshold test, or those students whose hearing impairments were medically or surgically treatable.)
COL.	35-38		16-24 dB (ASA Standard)
COL.	39~42		25-79 dB (ASA Standard)
COL.	43-45		80 dB or more (ASA Standard)
			Note: To compute the hearing level for speech, average the two best of the three hearing levels for the speech frequencies (500, 1000, and 2000 cps).
		12a.	If the information requested in ITEM 12 is not available, please give the approximate TOTAL number of students who were identified with PERMANENT hearing impairments.
COL.	46-50		Total number of students with PERMANENT hearing impairmen

ERIC PRODUCTION

13.	hea	students with PERMANENT hearing impairments ROUTINELY referred for a ring aid evaluation? YES NO INFORMATION NOT AVAILABLE
14.		often does your school district provide for hearing reevaluations for your
	KNO	N hearing impaired student population?
	2	Semi-annually
		Annually
	2 3	Other (PLEASE SPECIFY)
		Information not available
15.	Aboutes 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 1	thow often is the calibration of your audiometers checked with calibration to equipment? Please check ONE of the following. Every month Every three months Every six months Every year Every two years Every three years Other (SPECIFY)
15a.	Have 1	your audiometers been recalibrated for ISO?
		All have been
	2	Some have been
	<u>3</u>	None have been



		16.	Please indicate the approximate number of hearing impaired students for your school district is RESPONSIBLE FOR THE PROVISION OF EDUCATIONAL Sometiments of students with PERMANENT hearing impairments who were into this year plus the number of students with PERMANENT hearing impairments were known to you prior to this year.)	SERVI CES. dentified
COL.	55 - 59		Number of students with PERMANENT hearing impairments for who district is RESPONSIBLE FOR THE PROVISION OF EDUCATIONAL SERV	
Tank and the state of				
		17.	Please indicate how your school district is PROVIDING FOR EDUCATIONAL for your students with PERMANENT hearing impairments by indicating the of students enrolled in EACH type of agency.	
			METHODS OF PROVISION	NUMBER OF STUDENTS
COL.	60-63		a. Directly by local school district with its own personnel and facilities	*****
COL.	64-67		b. By cooperative agreement with other school districts	
COL.	68-71		c. Through other school districts because space is available in the districts	*********
2	72 - 75		d. Through state school for the deaf	-
COL.	<u>5</u> -8		e. Through private school for the deaf	and the state of t
COL.	9-12		f. Other (PLEASE SPECIFY)	
Section Control to the Control of	k	BE SU	TOTAL* ORE THE TOTAL GIVEN IN ITEM 17 AGREES WITH THE TOTAL GIVEN IN ITEM 16.	
		18.	If your school district has a cooperative agreement with other school for the provision of hearing testing, special educational and/or commuskills development services (auditory training, speechreading, languagment, etc.) for your hearing impaired students, please indicate the nu school districts that participate in the cooperative agreement.	nication e develop
COL.	13		7 Check here if your school district does not have a cooperative agreement.	
			$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	CDEC:EV\

18a.	If your school district participates in a cooperative program, please give
	the name and address of the school district where the program for special
	services (hearing testing, special educational and/or communication skills
	development, personnel and facilities) is located.

Name of school			
Street address			
City	County	State	Zip Code

If there are NO students with PERMANENT hearing impairments enrolled in your school district ([a] in ITEM 17), please add any comments you would like to make about services for hearing impaired students, especially students with hearing levels for speech for the better ear between 25 and 79 dB--ASA Standard, and return the questionnaire in the enclosed envelope. Thank you for participating in the survey.



EDUCATIONAL AND ANCILLARY SERVICES

19. Please indicate the kinds of programs your school district provides for the hearing impaired students who are attending schools in your district ([a] in ITEM 17), by indicating the NUMBER of students enrolled in EACH kind of program. (Be sure the TOTAL number of students agrees with the number of students given in a) of ITEM 17.) DO NOT INCLUDE STUDENTS WHO ARE ENROLLED IN DAY OR RESIDENTIAL SCHOOLS FOR THE DEAF.

	FOR THE DEAF.	0		X		Y		
	KINDS OF PROGRAMS	GRADE LEVELS						
		Pre- sch.	K - 3	4 - 6	7 - 8	9 - 12		
COL. 14	a. Self-contained day classes for the deaf ONLY*							
COL. 15	<pre>b. Self-contained day classes for the deaf and hard-of-hearing</pre>		-	***************************************	- Tilles gradense			
COL. 16	c. Self-contained day classes for the hard-of-hearing ONLY**			or and the state of				
COL. 17	d. Regular classes: <u>hearing impaired</u> spend part of the day with teacher of the deaf							
COL. 18	e. Regular classes: hearing impaired receive communication skills development from itinerant tutor or clinician							
COL. 19	f. Regular classes: supplementary help not needed (e.g. high school students who no longer need special help except hearing aids.)							
COL. 20	g. Regular classes: supplementary help not available							
COL. 21	h. Individual tutoring: students taken to school facility (e.g. preschool)							
COL. 22	i. Home program: tutor goes to the home (e.g. preschool)							

*Students with hearing levels for speech for the better ear of 80 dB or worse--

Note: To compute the hearing level for speech, average the two best of the three hearing levels for the speech frequencies (500, 1000, and 2000 cps).



^{**}Students with hearing levels for speech for the better ear better than 80 dB--ASA Standard.

	n n n n	19a.	If yo	ur sc e cir	hool d	distr ne YO	ict p	rovide Tand	s tra	ining Tage	serv s ser	vices ved.	for	PRESC	HOOL	chi	ldren,	
	23-24 25-26		AGE I	N MON	THS:	6	12	18	24	30	36	42	48	54	60			
		196.	schoo heari Pleas	ol dis ing le se ind	trict vels	(ITE for s the	M 19) peech NUMBE	do yo for Rat	ou cou the be EACH !	nside: etter grade	r to b ear d leve	pe DE of 80 I. <u>D</u>	AF (i dB c <u>0 NOT</u>	.e., or wor _ INCL	stud se	ents ASA :	in your with Standard ENTS WHO)?
			GRAD	E LEVE	LS:			Pro	esch.	K ·	- 3	4 -	6 7	7 - 8	9	- 12		
COL.	27-41		Numb	er of	DEAF	stu d e	ents:						-		_			
		19c.	ONLY in 1	and s TEM 19 rtant)	self-c 3), pl	onta ease facto	ined d numbe ors th	lay :l er in	<mark>a</mark> ss e s order	for of i	the ha	ard-o ance	f-hea (1 ma	aring ost in	ONLY np o rt	([a ant	the dea] and [c 5 least DUCATION	j
COL.	42				Plea kind			nere i ses an					not	prov	ide <u>b</u>	<u>oth</u>		
CCL.	43			Acade	mic ac	chiev	ement											
COL.	44			Commu	nicati	ion a	biliti	ies										
COL.	45			Degree of hearing impairment														
COL.	46			Famil	у соор	perat	ion											
COL.	47			Socia	l deve	elopm	ent											
COL.	48			0ther	(SPE	CIFY)												_
																		_
		19d	you! ing	r scho and t	ol dis	stric U CAT I	t to ONALL	diffe Y deat	rentia f, at	ite be what	tween	the	EDUC.	AT ION	ALLY	hard	loyed by -of-hear e that a	. —
COL.	49-50					Usu of-	al ag heari	e(s) v ng and	when o	differ CATION	entia IALLY	tion deaf	betw can	een E be ma	DU CA7 de	IONA	NLLY hard	-
COL.	51-52																	
COL.	53-54																	

ERIC Full Tast Provided by ERIC

	20.	What percent of the hearing impaing aids? Please indicate the p					wear hear	•
		GRADE LEVELS:	Presch.	к - 3	4 - 6	7 - 8	9 - 12	
COL. 55-69		Percent who wear hearing aids:		***************************************	•	enterprise de l'inches de l'in	transmitted them.	
	21.	If your school district has been and/or communication skills devereading, language development, ewho are attending classes in you have been unable to supply such	lopment se tc.) neede r school d	rvices of the strict of the st	(auditory hearing please	training impaire	ng, speech ed student:	-
COL. 70		Check here if your di special services need population and GO TO	ed by your		•		nt	
COL. 71		Insufficient funds for own p Unable to find competent per Insufficient number of heari warrant special program X No program for the hearing in Y Others (SPECIFY)	sonnel for	d studer	nts in sc		trict to	
COL. 72		Please check here if provide such services	•		•			
		(If ALL the hearing impaired studistrict are in regular classes [g] in ITEM 19, please GO TO ITE	bec <mark>ause</mark> su	pplement	ary help	is unav		



	s ch in day hea le	ease indicate the <u>NUMBER</u> of nool district to provide <u>S</u> self-contained day classed classes for the hard-of-aring impaired ([b], [c], wels. <u>DO NOT</u> include persills development services	PECIAL EDU s for the hearing ON and [d] ir onnel who	<u>ICATIONAL S</u> deaf and h ILY, and/or n ITEM 19)	<pre>ERVICES fo ard-of-hea resource at EACH of</pre>	r students ring, self classes fo the follo	enrolled -contained r the wing grade				
COL. 73		Check here if you services and GO T	our district does not provide such TO ITEM 23.								
			<u>°</u>		×		<u>Y</u> .				
CARD 4	TY	PES OF STAFF PERSONS	GRADE LEVELS								
			Pre- sch.	K - 3	4 - 6	7 - 8	9 - 12				
COL. 5	Teachers certific	of the deaf (with CEASD ation)									
COL. 6	Teachers certific	of the deaf (with State ation)									
COL. 7		sts (with ASHA Clinical nce certification)									
col. 8	Audiologi certific	sts (with ASHA Basic cation)									
COL. 9		athologists (with ASHA Competence certifi-									
COL. 10	Speech pa	athologists (with ASHA ertification)									
COL. 11	1 1	hearing clinician tate certification)									
COL. 12	Others (PLEASE SPECIFY)									
COL. 13											



		students (i.e., s between 25 and 79 person who USUALL	tudents with h	earing levels ard), please	for speech indicate the	for the bet ONE type o	ter ear		
COL.	14		ervices ARE No GO TO ITEM 2		DIRECTLY				
		KINDS OF SERVICES		TYPES OF STAF	F PERSONS		S ERVICE NOT		
			Audiologist*	Speech Pathologist	Teacher of the Deaf	Other (Specify)	PROVIDED		
COL.	15	Auditory training		2	3	4	5		
COL.	16	Language training			3 3 	4	5		
COL.	17	Psychological counseling (child)		Ò			5		
COL	18	Psychological counseling (parent)		2	3	4	5		
COL.	19	Speechreading		2 	3 3	4	5 5		
COL.	20	Speech therapy		2	3	4	5		
COL.	21	Vocational counseling							
		*Individual HOLDS NATIONAL	OR STATE certi	fication in a	udiology or	hearing, et	с.		
		23a. Please check ALL for <u>hard-of-heari</u> speech and hearin	ing students th	rough OTHER A	GENCIES (oth	er school d			
COL.	22		here if your d jh other agenci			such servic	es		
		ANCILLARY SER	RVICES						
COL.	23	Auditory trai	ining						
COL.	24	Language trai	ining						
COL.	25	Psychological	counseling (child)					
COL.	26	Psychological	counseling (p	parent)					
COL.	27	Speechreading	9						
COL.	28	Speech therapy							

☐ Vocational counseling

COL. 29

	24.	Please indicate the type of staff person who supervises for hard-of-hearing students by placing 1 in the appropritype of staff person who supervises communication skills by placing 2 in the appropriate box.	iate box, and the
COL. 30-31		Check here if your school district does not en such supervisors and GO TO ITEM 25.	np loy
		Competence certification) Audiologist (with ASHA Basic certification) Speech pathologist (with ASHA Clinical Competence certification) Competence certification Speech and/or (with State certification) Clinical Competence certification) State certification	cation teacher (with ification) cher (with State ion)
	25.	For the current school year, what is the per pupil cost enrolled in EACH of the following kinds of programs?	for educating students
COL. 32		Check here if this information is not availab and GO TO ITEM 26.	le
		KINDS OF PROGRAMS	PER PUPIL COST
		Deaf students in self-contained classes	\$
		Hard-of-hearing students in self-contained classes	\$
		Hearing impaired students in regular classes: part of the day with teachers of the deaf	\$
		Hearing impaired students in regular classes: supplementary help from itinerant tutor or clinician	\$
		Normal hearing and hearing impaired students in regular classes	\$
		Normal hearing students in regular classes	\$

(ITEMS 26 THROUGH 29 ALL PERTAIN TO YOUR SCHOOL DISTRICT'S PROCEDURES FOR HARD-OF-HEARING STUDENTS [i.e., STUDENTS WITH HEARING LEVELS FOR SPEECH FOR THE BETTER EAR BETWEEN 25 AND 79 dB--ASA STANDARD] WHO ARE ATTENDING SCHOOLS IN YOUR DISTRICT, EXCLUDING DAY AND RESIDENTIAL SCHOOLS FOR THE DEAF.)

	26. If your school district requires evaluations in addition to the audiologic evaluation before hard-of-hearing students are admitted to your program, please check ALL the additional evaluations you require.
OL. 33	Check here if additional evaluations are not required by your district and GO TO ITEM 27. O Y
OL. 34	Neurologic Neurologic Pediatric Others (SPECIFY)
	26a. Can a student be excluded from your program on the basis of the results of the evaluations listed in ITEM 26.
COL. 35	YES (PLEASE EXPLAIN your policy regarding hard-of-hearing students who do not meet your admission requirements.)
	2 NO
	27. As a rule, who determines the kind of program placement for hard-of-hearing students? Please check ALL personnel involved.
COL. 36	Audiologist(s)
COL. 37	Principal(s)
COL. 38	Psychologist(s)
COL. 39	Social worker(s)
COL. 40	Speech clinician(s)
COL. 41	Teacher(s) of the deaf
COL. 42	Staff person(s) from another facility (PLEASE SPECIFY)
COL. 43	27a. Please check here if the program placement for hard-of-hearing students is reviewed at regular intervals. (How often?)



	28.	Do you administer the same standard achievement tests to hard-of-hearing and
	20.	normal hearing students? Please check your USUAL procedure.
COL. 45		Check here if your school district does not administer objective achievement tests and GO TO ITEM 29.
		The second of th
		YES, but tests are administered individually to hard-of-hearing students
		3 YES, but tests are administered to small groups of hard-of-hearing
		students
		NO (PLEASE EXPLAIN)
	29.	If your school district provides both self-contained classes and integrated classes for hard-of-hearing students, please number in order of importance from 1-5 (1 most important, 5 least important) the factors that determine the readiness of a student to go from a self-contained class into an integrated class.
COL. 46		Check here if your school district does not provide both kinds of classes and GO TO ITEM 30.
COL. 47		Academic achievement
COL. 48		Communication abilities
COL. 49		Degree of hearing impairment
COL. 50		[Family cooperation
COL. 51		Social development
COL. 52		Other (SPECIFY)



	30.	Are the MAJORITY of the hard-of-hearing students (i.e. students with hearing levels for speech between 25 and 79 dBASA Standard) who are in regular classes ([d], [e], [f], and [g] in ITEM 19) achieving at their potential as determined by psychological tests?
COL. 53		Please check here if there are NO hard-of-hearing students in regular classes and GO TO ITEM 31.
		YES
		2 NO 3
		INFORMATION NOT AVAILABLE
	31.	Do you have a contract or an agreement for the maintenance and repair of your auditory training equipment?
COL. 54		X Check here if your district does not have any auditory training equipment and GO TO ITEM 32.
		YES (How often is it checked?)
COL. 55		2
	32.	If money were available, what priority would you give to THREE of the follow-ing? Please number according to priority using I to indicate the highest priority, 2 to indicate the next highest and so on.
COL. 56		Employ more teachers of the deaf
COL. 57		Employ more audiologists
COL. 58		Employ more audiometrists
COL. 59		Employ more speech clinicians
COL. 60		Employ more supervisory personnel
COL. 61		Increase administrative staff
COL. 62		Employ (more) specialists such as psychologists, social workers, etc.
COL. 63		Raise staff salaries



33.	ing? Please number according to priority using 1 to indicate the highest priority, 2 to indicate the next highest and so on.
	☐ Improve classrooms
	Purchase more modern portable audiometers
	Purchase special diagnostic audiometric equipment
	Purchase calibration equipment
	Improve hearing screening facilities
	Improve diagnostic testing environments
	Purchase more auditory training equipment
	Improve repair and maintenance equipment
	Others (SPECIFY)

34. Please describe briefly the research you believe is needed in the areas of hearing testing, special educational, and/or communication skills development services for hearing impaired students, especially for students with hearing levels for speech for the better ear between 25 and 79 dB--ASA Standard.



35. Please add any comment you would like to make concerning your program and/or any of the material covered in the questionnaire. Thank you for participating in the survey.

We would appreciate greatly your returning the questionnaire by May 6, 1968.

ASHA

Study No. 10

March, 1968



State Schools for the Deaf Questionnaire



Address Label

CARD	1.																	
COL.	1-4	Nam	e of respo	onder	t	-												
COL.	5	Pos	ition Title of respondent															
COL.	6	1.	Please indicate the most appropriate classification for your school. State residential and day school for the deaf State residential school for the deaf Public day school for the deaf Private residential and day school for the deaf Private residential school for the deaf Private day school for the deaf Other (PLEASE SPECITI:															
		ı	As of the ment? Ind weekday in	clude	on	ly th	nose	year stu	, who	at i s wh	s yo	ur a end	ppro the	xima majo	te s r po	tude rtio	n t e n of	nroll each
COL.	7-10	•		Stud	lent	enro	o 1 1 m	ent										
		3.	Please ci	cle	the	lowe	est	and i	high	est	grad	es i	nclu	ded	in y	our	scho	ol.
		1	Preschool	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
COL.	11-14		ij	2	3	4	5	6	7	8		2	3	4	5	☐ 6	7	8
		4.	What is yo outlay, ar	ou r t nd de	otal bt s	<u>l</u> and Servi	nual ices	budg , fo	get, r the	inc e cu	ludi rren	ng ci t scl	urre	nt e: yea	xpen r (1	ses, 967-	cap 68)?	ital
COL.	15-19	•		Tota	l ar	nnual	bu	dget										



		5a.	Approximately HOW MANY of the students enrolled in your school have the following degrees of hearing impairment?
COL.	20-21		25 - 39 dBASA Standard
COL.	22-23		40 - 59 dBASA Standard
COL.	24-27		60 - 79 dBASA Standard
COL.	28-31		80 dB or moreASA Standard
		5b.	If the above information is not available, please indicate the approximate number of students who have hearing levels for speech for the better ear between 25 and 79 dBASA Standard.
COL.	32-34		Number of students with hearing levels for speech between 25 and 79 dBASA Standard
		6.	Approximately how many of your total student population do you consider to be EDUCATIONALLY hard-of-hearing?
cot	35-37		Number of EDUCATIONALLY hard-of-hearing students
		7.	Please indicate your criteria by <u>NUMBERING</u> the following factors in order of importance (1 most important, 5 least important) for deciding a hearing impaired child will be considered <u>EDUCATIONALLY</u> hard-of-hea.
COL.	38		Academic achievement
COL.	39		Communication abilities
COL.	40		Degree of hearing impairment
COL.	41		Family cooperation
COL.	42		Social development
COL.	43		Others (SPECIFY:
)
		8.	By what age(s) can you USUALLY decide that a hearing impaired child is EDUCATIONALLY hard-of-hearing?
COL.	44-45		Usual age(s) when differentiation between <u>EDUCATIONALLY</u> hard-of-hearing and <u>EDUCATIONALLY</u> deaf can be made
COL.	46-47		LEUCHTONALLI GEGI CAII DE MAGE
COL.	48-49		



	9.	Is one of your admission requirements a minimum degree of hearing impairment?						
COL. 50		YES (The minimum degree of hearing impairment isdBASA Standard)						
COL. 5!-52		2 NO						
	10a.	Please indicate ALL the evaluations your school <u>ROUTINELY</u> requires <u>BEFORE</u> students with hearing levels for speech for the better ear between 25 and 79 dB (ASA Standard) are admitted to your school.						
COL. 53		Academic (school-age students)						
COL. 54		Audiologic						
COL. 55		Communication skills (school-age students)						
COL. 56		Hearing aid fitting (if child can benefit)						
COL. 57		Neurologic						
COL. 58		Ophthalmologic						
COL. 59		☐ Otologic						
COL. 60		Pediatric						
COL. 61		Psychologic						
COL. 62		Others (PLEASE SPECIFY:)						
COI . 63	i 0b	. Can a student be excluded from your program on the basis of the results of the evaluations listed in ITEM 10a?						
		YES (Please EXPLAIN your policy regarding students who do not meet your admission requirements.						
		2 NO						



	enrolled in your school? Please check ALL personnel involved.
COL. 64	Audiologists
COL. 65	☐ Principal
COL. 66	Psychologists
COL. 67	Social workers
coL. 68	Speech clinicians
COL. 69	☐ Teachers of the deaf
CGL. 70	Staff persons from another facility (PLEASE SPECIFY:
	Ilb. Is the program placement for students with hearing levels for speech for the better ear between 25 and 79 dB (ASA Standard) reviewed at regular intervals?
COL. 71	YES (How often?)
COL. 72	□ NO
CARD 2	12. Please indicate ALL the kinds of programs your school provides for your students.
col. 7	Classes for the <u>deaf</u> * ONLY
COL. 8	Classes for the <u>deaf</u> and <u>hard-of-hearing*** ONLY</u>
COL. 9	Classes for the hard-of-hearing* ONLY
COL. 10	Classes for the students you consider to be EDUCATIONALLY hard-of-hearing
COL. 11	Regular nursery school and individual or small group tutoring from a teacher of the deaf
COL. 12	Individual or small group tutoring (preschool children taken to school: less than 1/2-day)
COL. 13	Home program (tutor goes to the home: preschool)
COL. 14	Other (PLEASE SPECIFY:
	and the second of the second o

***Students** with hearing levels for speech of 80 dB or worse--ASA Standar ***Students with hearing levels for speech better than 80 dB--ASA Standar



	•	13.	If ALL or SOME of the HARD-OF-HEARING students who are enrolled in your school are provided separate programs, please describe briefly how your program(s) for HARD-OF-HEARING students and your program(s) for DEAF students differ.
COL. I	5		Check here if different kinds of programs are not provided and GO TO ITEM 14.
COL. 1	6	17.	Please indicate the average class size for EACH of the following
COL. I	0	17.	grade levels. GRADE LEVELS
			Preschool K - 3 4 - 6 7 - 8 9 - 12
COL. 1	17-26		Average class size
		15.	What percent of the children with hearing levels for speech for the better ear between 25 and 79 dB (ASA Standard) wear hearing aids? Please indicate the percent for EACH age range. AGE RANGE(S)
			0-2* $3-5$ $6-13$ $14-21$
col.	27-38		Percent who wear hearing aids%%%
			* 0 - 2: 0 years through 2 years, 11 months, etc.
		16.	If your school employs a supervisor for your educational services, please give below!) the type of staff persons who supervises such services, and 2) the type of certification held by your supervisor.
COL.	39		Check here if your school does not employ such a supervisor.
COL.	40		Type of staff person who supervises the educational services
COL.	41-42		Type of certification held by supervisor



		1/ a.	quent) the THREE most frequent <u>REASONS</u> for students with hearing levels for speech for the better ear between 25 and 79 dB (ASA Standard) being <u>transferred</u> to your school.
COL.	43		Academic failure in hard-of-hearing program in local school district
COL.	Լ Լ		Academic failure in regular class in local school district
COL.	45		Completed program(s) on local district(s)
COL.	46		Family circumstances
COL.	47		Lack of communication abilities
COL.	48		Location of program
COL.	49		☐ Multiple handicaps
COL.	50		No program for hearing impaired in local school district
COL.	51		Retarded social development
COL.	52		Unable to learn to communicate orally
COL.	53		Other (PLEASE SPECIFY:
		176.	If a student is transferred to your school for any of the reasons listed in ITEM 17a, at what age(s) does the transfer usually occur?
COL.	54-55		Usuai age(s) when students are transferred to school for the deaf
COL.	56-57		
COL.	58-59		
		17c.	From what type of AGENCY do you most frequently receive such transfer referrals?
COL.	60		Type of agency that most frequently refers students with hearing levels for speech between 25 and 79 dBASA Standard



	18a.	Do you teach a method of communication other than ORAL communication?
COL. 61		YES (PLEASE EXPLAIN what method[s]:
		NO (PLEASE GO TO ITEM 19)
	186.	If in ITEM 18a you checked YES, do you make any special effort to insure that the hard-of-hearing students in your school communicate orally most of the time?
COL. 62		YES (PLEASE EXPLAIN:
)
		2 NO .
COL. 63	19.	Does your school provide ALL its own hearing testing services?
		YES (PLEASE GO TO ITEM 20)
		NO (PLEASE GO TO ITEM 21)
	20.	Do you have an arrangement with another agency to provide hearing testing services? Please check ALL that apply.
COL. 64		1. YES, college or university speech and hearing center or clinic
COL. 65		2. YES, medical school speech and hearing center or clinic
COL. 66		3. YES, medical school ear, nose, and throat department
COL. 67		4. YES, state department of health
cot 68		5. YES, state department of education
COL. 69		6. YES, community speech and hearing center or clinic
COL. 70		7. YES, private speech and hearing center or clinic
COL. 71		8. YES, audiologist in private practice
COL. 72		9. YES, otologist in private practice
COL. 73		10. YES, other (PLEASE SPECIFY:)
COL. 74		11. NO (PLEASE EXPLAIN why your school has been unable to provide hearing testing services; then GO TO ITEM 24.



LAKU	_2		
COL.	7	21.	If your school provides with its own personnel and equipment ANY hearing testing services, how often do you test the hearing of your students?
			Semi-annually
			2 Annually
			Other (PLEASE SPECIFY:)
			Does not provide
		22.	If your school employs a supervisor for your hearing testing program please give below 1) the type of staff person who supervises such services, and 2) the type of certification held by your supervisor.
COL.	8		Check here if your school does not employ such a super- visor and GO TO ITEM 23a.
COL.	9		Type of staff person who supervises hearing testing services
COL.	10-11		Type of certification held by supervisor
COL.	12	23a.	About how often is the calibration of your audiometers checked with calibration test equipment? Please check ONE of the following. Every month Every three months Every six months Every year Every two years Every three years Other (PLEASE SPECIFY:
001.	13	23b.	Have your audiometers been recalibrated for ISO?
			All have been 2
			Some have been 3
			None have been



- 24. If your school provides for <u>ANCILLARY SERVICES</u> for students with hearing levels for speech for the better ear between 25 and 79 dB (ASA Standard) or for students whom you consider to be <u>EDUCATIONALLY</u> hard-of-hearing, please indicate how you provide for <u>EACH</u> of the <u>ANCILLARY SERVICES</u> listed below. Please check the <u>method</u> used most frequently.
- COL. 14 Check here if your school does not provide for ANCILLARY SERVICES and GO TO ITEM 26.

	ANCILLARY SERVICES	METHODS OF PROVISION				
	, Jak. 7020	Directly	Facility Checked	Not		
		By School	IN ITEM 20*	Provided_		
COL. 15	Academic tutoring	0 0	1-9	X X		
COL. 16	Auditory training	0	1-9	×		
COL. 17	Hearing aid evaluations		☐ 1-9	×		
COL. 18	Language training	Ü 0	1-9	×		
COL. 19	Otologic diagnosis and/or treatment	Ü				
COL. 20	Psychological counseling	o:] c	1-9 1-9	<u>x</u> <u>x</u>		
COL. 21	Speechreading	0 0	1-9	Ĵ		
COL. 22	Speech therapy	Ď	Ĺ	Ü		

*IF THE SERVICE IS PROVIDED BY A FACILITY LISTED IN ITEM 20, PLEASE WRITE THE NUMBER PRECEDING THE FACILITY IN THE APPROPRIATE BOX.

Type of certification held by supervisor

	25.	development services, please give below 1) the type of staff person who supervises such services, and 2) the type of certification held by your supervisor.
COL. 23		Check here if your school does not employ such a supervisor and GO TO ITEM 26.
COL. 24		Type of staff person who supervises communication skills development services

ERIC -

COL. 25-26

26. Please indicate the <u>NUMBER</u> of EACH type of staff person employed by your school to provide hearing testing, special educational, and/or communication skills development services.

NUMBER OF EACH TYPE

	TYPES OF STAFF PERSONS	OF STAFF PERSON
27~28	Audiologists (with ASHA Clinical Competence certification)	
29-30	Audiologists (with ASHA Basic certification)	
31-32	Audiometrists (with State certification)	
33-34	Speech pathologists (with ASHA Clinical Competence certification)	
35-36	Speech clinicians (with ASHA Basic certifi- cation)	
37-38	Speech clinicians (with State certification)	
39-41	Teachers of the deaf (with CEASD certification)	
42-44	Teachers of the deaf (with State certification)	
45-46	Regular nursery school teachers (with State certification)	
47-48	Special education teachers (with State certifi- cation)	and the same of th
49-50	Others (PLEASE SPECIFY:	
)	
51-53	Total	

27. For the current school year, what is the <u>per pupil</u> cost for educating students in EACH of the following kinds of classes and grade levels?

	KINDS OF CLASSES	COST BY GRADE LEVEL				
		Pre- sch.	K-3	4-6	7-8	9-12
54-61	Classes for the hearing impaired; residents	der planten	*************	************************		************
62-69	Classes for the hearing impaired; day					
70-77	Individual or small group programs: less than 1/2 day	**************************************				



CARD 4	28.	Do you have a contract or an agreement for the maintenance and repair of your auditory training equipment?
COL. 7		Check here if your school does not have any auditory training equipment and GO TO ITEM 29a.
COL. 8		YES (How often is it checked?) NO, maintenance and repair service is provided by school
		□ NO
	29a.	If money were available, what priority would you give to THREE of the following? Please number according to priority using I to indicate the highest priority, 2 to indicate the next highest and so
COL. 9		Employ more teachers of the deaf
COL. 10		Employ more audiologists
COL. 11		Employ more audiometrists
COL. 12		Employ more hearing clinicians
COL. 13		Employ more speech therapists
COL. 14		Employ more supervisory personnel
COL. 15		Increase administrative staff
COL. 16		Employ (more) specialists such as psychologists, social workers, etc.
COL. 17		Raise staff salaries
	29b.	If money were available, what priority would you give to THREE of the following? Please number according to priority using 1 to indicate the highest priority, 2 to indicate the next highest and so o
COL. 18		Improve classrooms
COL. 19		Purchase more modern portable audiometers
COL. 20		Purchase special diagnostic audiometric equipment
COL. 21		Purchase calibration equipment
COL. 22		improve hearing screening facilities
COL. 23		Improve diagnostic testing environments
COL. 24		Purchase more auditory training equipment
COL. 25		Improve repair and maintenance equipment
COL. 26		Others (SPECIFY:
COL. 27		

30. Please describe briefly the research you believe is needed in the areas of hearing testing, special educational, and/or communication skills development services for hearing impaired students, especially for students with hearing levels for speech for the better ear between 25 and 79 dB--ASA Standard.

31. Please add any comment you would like to make concerning your program and/or any of the material covered in the questionnaire. Thank you for participating in the survey.

We would appreciate greatly your returning the questionnaire by May 10, 1968.

ASHA

Speech and Hearing Clinics
Questionnaire



THIS SURVEY IS CONCERNED WITH CURRENT PRACTICES IN EDUCATION FOR CHILDREN BETWEEN 0 AND 21 YEARS WITH HEARING LEVELS FOR SPEECH FOR THE BETTER EAR BETWEEN 25 and 79 dB--ASA STANDARD

Note. To compute the hearing level for speech, average the two best of the three hearing levels for the speech frequencies (500, 1000, and 2000 cps).



Δd	റ്	re	ς	ς	l a	he l

CARD	<u>1</u>	
COL.	1-4	Name of respondent
COL.	5	Position Title of respondent
COL.	6	Please indicate THE PRIMARY TYPE OF FACILITY for which you are reporting. Community Speech and Hearing Center Non-University Hospital or Health Facility (e.g., Medical or Rehabilitation Center) University Hospital Medical College or other Health Facility (e.g., Dental School) University or College program (excluding University Hospitals, Medical Centers or Medical Colleges)
COL.	7	Other (PLEASE SPECIFY: 2. Do you offer ANY of the following services for hard-of-hearing children (i.e. children with hearing levels for speech for the better ear between 25 and 79 dBASA Standard)? Please check ALL the services you offer. YES, hearing screening services YES, hearing testing services other than screening services YES, special educational services (1/2-day or more in classroom) YES, individual or small group academic tutoring (less than 1/2-day: academic subjects) YES, individual or small group communication skills development services (less than 1/2-day: auditory training, language development, speechreading, speech development, etc.)
		(If in ITEM 2 you checked NO, please GO TO ITEM 3; otherwise, GO TO ITEM 4)



COL.	8	3.	Please indicate why your agency does not provide hearing testing, special educational, and/or communication skills development services for hard-of-hearing children. Check ALL that apply.
er, and and fractional design			Center specializes in services for the speech handicapped only
			Insufficient funds to provide services
			Insufficient number of hearing impaired to warrant program
A 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1			Unable to find competent personnel
der Dona			Would duplicate services provided by other agencies
			Others (PLEASE SPECIFY:

(Please add any comments you would like to make about services for children between 0 and 21 years with hearing levels for speech for the better ear between 25 and 79 dB [ASA Standard] and return the questionnaire in the enclosed envelope. Thank you for participating in the survey.)



COL. 9	4.	Does your agency operate on an academic or fiscal year? Academic year Fiscal year
	5.	What is your TOTAL budget for the 1967 - 68 academic (or 1967 fiscal) year?
COL. 10-12		\$Total budget
	6.	On the average, how many patients are provided services (nearing testing, hearing therapy, speech therapy, etc.) by your agency per annum?
COL. 13-17		Total number of patients
	7.	Please check ALL the age ranges for which your agency provides hearing testing, special education and/or communication skills development services.
COL. 18		0 - 2 years, 11 months
		☐ 3 - 5 years, 11 months ☐ 6 - 12 years, 11 months
		0 13 - 20 years, 11 months



8.	Please NUMBER in order of frequency (1 most frequent, 3 least frequent) the
	THREE types of referral sources from which your agency most frequently re-
	ceives referrals for EACH of the following kinds of hearing testing services
	for children. Check only three referral sources for each kind of hearing
	testing service.

COL. 19

Please check here if your agency DOES NOT provide ANY hearing testing services and GO TO ITEM 19.

	COL.20-22	COL.23-25	COL.26-28	COL.29-31	COL.32-34		
TYPE OF	HEARING TESTING SERVICES						
REFERRAL SOURCE	Audiometric Screening	Air and Bone Threshold (with Masking)	Special Diagnostic Tests	Hearing Aid Evaluations	Periodic Testing*		
Audiologists or speech pathologists in private practice	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	1	1	ا ئــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ		
Diagnostic clinics (medical,psycho- logical,remedial reading,etc.)	2	2	2 	<u>2</u>	<u>2</u>		
Federal or state agencies Hearing aid dealers	3 4 —	3 4	3 	3 4	3 -4 		
Local public school districts			5	<u>5</u>	5 _.		
Medical school ear, nose, and throat departments	6	6	6	6 	<u>6</u>		
Physicians in private practice	7	7	7	7	<u>7</u>		
Private elementary and/or high schools Parents	8	8 	8	8 	<u>8</u> 9		
Others (PLEASE SPECIFY:	0 X T	C X Y	0 X 	о Х _ <u>Ү</u>	X Y		

*Periodic testing of KNOWN hearing impaired



COL.	25	9.	Do you <u>ROUTINELY</u> refer hearing impaired children for an otologic evaluation? YES
COL.	7 7		2 NO
		10.	If special diagnostic testing services are provided by your agency, please check ALL the tests your agency is able to provide.
COL.	36		Please check here if your agency does not provide such tests and GO TO ITEM li.
COL.	37		Speech audiometry
COL.	38		☐ Békésy
COL.	39		SISI
COL.	40		Loudness balance
COL.	41		☐ PGSR
COL.	42		☐ EEG
COL.	43		ENG
COL.	44	11.	If your agency provides hearing aid evaluations, do you require that a child be given a hearing aid orientation? 8 Please check here if your agency does not provide such evaluations and GO TO ITEM 12. 9 YES (PLEASE DESCRIBE your orientation procedures)
			O YES, in selected cases (PLEASE DESCRIBE your orientation procedures)
			NO NO



COL.	45	12.	If periodic testing of the KNOWN hearing impaired is provided by your agency please check below how often such tests are given. 8 Check here if such tests are not provided by your agency and GO TO ITEM 13. 9 Semi-annually 0 Annually X Other (SPECIFY:	•
)
COL.	46 47-48	13.	Does your agency use the terms hard-of-hearing and deaf? YES (PLEASE SPECIFY the dB level [ASA Standard] for speech you use to differentiate between hard-of-hearing and deaf dB) NO	
		14.	If your agency employs criteria in addition to the degree of hearing impairment to differentiate between hard-of-hearing and deaf, please number the following differentiating factors in order of importance (I most important, 4 least important).	
COL.	49		Check here if your agency does not employ criteria in addition to the degree of hearing impairment to differentiate between hard-of-hearing and deaf and GO TO ITEM 15.	
COL.	50		Academic achievement	
COL.	51		Communication abilities	
COL.	52		Degree of hearing impairment	
COL.	53		Social development	
COL.	54		Other (SPECIFY:	•
				_)



		15.	Approximately how many children with the following degrees of hearing impairments were identified this academic (1967-68) year, or the 1967 fiscal year, by your agency? (Do not include those children who were found to have normal hearing on the threshold test, or those children whose hearing impairments were medically or surgically treatable.)
COL.	55		Please check here if you are reporting for the 1967 fiscal year.
COL.	56-58		16 - 24 dB (ASA Standard)
COL.	59-61		25 - 79 dB (ASA Standard)
COL.	62-64		80 dB or more (ASA Standard)
		15a.	If the above information is not available, please give the approximate number of children who were identified with PERMANENT hearing impairments.
COL.	65-68		Number of children with PERMANENT hearing impairments

16. Please indicate the types of staff persons who USUALLY perform EACH of the following kings of hearing testing services that are provided by your agency.

TYPEC OF STAFE	HEARING TESTING SERVICES					
TYPES OF STAFF PERSONS	Audiometric Screening	Air and Bone Thresholds (with Masking)	Special Diagnostic Tests	Yearing Aid Evaluations	Periodic Testing*	
Audiologists (with ASHA Clinical Competence certification)					ال	
Audiologists (with ASHA Basic cert.)				Ü		
Audiometrists(with State certification)				J	· .	
Speech pathologists (with ASHA Clinical Competence cert.)				Ù		
Speech pathologists (with ASHA Basic certification)						
Speech clinicians (with State cert.)						
Teachers of the deaf (with CEASD cert.)						
Teacners of the deaf (with State cert.)						
Others (PLEASE SPEC-						
				[_ <u>]</u>		
*Periodic testing of KI	NOWN hearing	impaired			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

17. If your agency employs a supervisor for hearing testing services, please give 1) the type of staff person who supervises such services, and 2) the type of certification held by your supervisor.

 Type of staff person who supervises hearing test- ing services
Type of certification held by your supervisor



		13.	About how often is the calibration of your audiometers checked with calibration test equipment? Please check ONE of the following.
OL.	65		Every month
			Every two months
			Every three months
			Every six months
			Every year
			Every two years
			Other (PLEASE SPECIFY:
)
		18a.	Have your audiometers been recalibrated for ISO?
			All have been
			Some have been
			None have been
		hear	your agency provides neither special educational services nor communication is development services for hard-of-hearing children [i.e., children with ing levels for speech for the better ear between 25 and 79 dBASA Standard], see GO TO ITEM 31; otherwise, GO TO ITEM 19.)



EDUCATIONAL AND ANCILLARY SERVICES

		19.	How many hearing impaired children between 0 and 21 years are receiving special educational and/or communication skills development services from your agency this academic year (1967-68), or received such services in the 1967 fiscal year?
COL.	67-69		Please check here if you are reporting for the 1967 fiscal year.
			Number of children receiving (or received) special educationa and/or communication skills development services
COL.	70-72	19a.	Approximately how many of the total number of hearing impaired children (ITEM 19) for whom services are provided have hearing levels for speech for the better ear between 25 and 79 dB (ASA Standard)?
			Approximate number of children with hearing levels for speech for the better ear between 25 and 79 dB (ASA Standard)
		196.	If the information requested in ITEM 19a is not available, approximately how many of the hearing impaired children for whom you provide services do you consider to be hard-of-hearing?
COL.	73-74		Approximate number of hard-of-hearing children
CARD	3	20.	Please <u>NUMBER</u> in order of frequency (1 most frequent, 3 least frequent) the <u>THREE</u> referral sources from which your agency receives referrals most frequently for special educational and/or communication skills development services for hard-of-hearing children (i.e., children with hearing levels for speech for the better ear between 25 and 79 dBASA Standard). <u>Number ONLY</u>
• • •			three types of referral sources.
			TYPES OF REFERRAL SOURCES
COL.	7-9		<pre>Audiologists or speech pathologists in private practice 2</pre>
			Diagnostic clinics (medical, psychological, remedial reading, etc.)
			Federal or state agencies
			Hearing aid dealers
			Local public school districts
			Medical school ear, nose, and throat departments
			Physicians in private practice
			Private elementary and/or high schools
			Private preschools
			Parents
ic			X Others (PLEASE SPECIFY:

	21.	If your agency requires evaluations in addition to the audiologic evaluation before hard-of-hearing children are admitted to your program, please check ALL the additional evaluations you require.
COL. 10		Check here if additional evaluations are not required by your agency and GO TO ITEM 22.
COL. 11		Academic (school-age children)
COL. 12		Communications skills
COL. 13		
COL. 14		Ophthalmologic
COL. 15		□ Otologic
COL. 16		Pediatric
COL. 17		Psychologic
COL. 18		Others (PLEASE SPECIFY:
COL. 19		evaluations listed in ITEM 21? YES (PLEASE EXPLAIN your policy regarding hard-of-hearing children who do not meet your admission requirements:
		NO NO
	22.	Please number the following factors in order of importance (1 most important, 5 least important) for deciding the special educational and/or communication skills development services needed by hard-of-hearing children.
COL. 20		Academic achievement
COL. 21		Communication abilities
COL. 22		Degree of hearing impairment
COL. 23		Family cooperation
COL. 24		Social development
COL. 25		Others (PLEASE SPECIFY:



		23.	As a rule, who determines the kinds of programs needed by the hard-of- hearing children for whom you provide services? Please check ALL specialties involved.	
COL.	26		Audiologist(s)	
COL.	27		□ Psychologist(s)	
COL.	28		Regular teacher(s)	
COL.	29		☐ Social worker(s)	
COL.	30		Speech clinician(s)	
COL.	31		Teacher(s) of the deaf	
COL.	32		Staff person(s) from another facility (PLEASE SPECIFY:	
)
	•	23a,	Is the program placement for hard-of-hearing children reviewed at regular intervals?	١
COL.	33-34		YES (How often?	'لــــــ



24. Please indicate the kinds of programs your agency provides for hard-of-hearing children (i.e., children with hearing levels for speech for the better ear between 25 and 79 dB--ASA Standard) by indicating the NUMBER of children served by EACH kind of program this academic year (1967-68), or the 1967 fiscal year? (Be sure the total number of children served agrees with the number of children given in ITEM 19a or ITEM 19b.)

	KINDS OF PROGRAMS		AGE F	RANGES	
		0 - 2*	3 - 5	6 - 13	14 - 20
COL. 35	Self-contained day classes for deaf and hard-of-hearing (1/2-day or more)				
COL. 36	Self-contained day classes for the hard-of-hearing only (1/2-day or more)			-	
COL. 37	Regular nursery school and individual or small group communication skills development services				
COL. 38	Individual or small group communication skills development services (less than 1/2-day)				
COL. 39	Home program: tutor or therapist goes to the home				
	Others (PLEASE SPECIFY:				
COL. 40	th O 20 O was 2 was 11 months at				

* 0 - 2: 0 years - 2 years, 11 months, etc.

25. What percent of the hard-of-hearing children who are served by your agency (ITEM 24) wear hearing aids? Please indicate the PERCENT for EACH age range.

		AGE	RANGES	
	0 - 2*	3 - 5	6 - 13	14 - 21
Percent who wear hearing aids	%	%	%	%
* 0 - 2: 0 years through 2 yea	ars, 11 mor	nths, etc.		



COL. 41-52

			• •				
COL. 54	26.	Are appropriate pring children who colly YES 2 YES, for some 3 NO (Why not?)	complete your p		agencies fo	or the hard	or hear-
	27.	If your agency empof-hearing childre	en, please give	e 1) the type	of staff pe	rsons who క	upervis e s
		such services, and	d 2) the type o	of certificat	ion held by	your superv	isor.
COL. 55		Туі	pe of staff pe	rson who supe	rvises the e	ducational	services
COL. 56-	- 57	Ту	pe of certific	ation held by	your superv	isor	
	28.	Please place a chostaff person who provided by your	USUALLY perform	ms EACH of the	e following		
		KINDS	•	TYPES OF STAF	F PERSONS		SERVICE
		OF S ERVICES	Audiologist*	Speech Pathologist	Teacher of the Deaf	Other (Specify)	NOT PROVIDED
COL. 58	Academi	c tutoring	1	2	3	4	<u>5</u> <u>5</u> <u>5</u>
COL. 59	Auditor	y training		2 	3	4	<u>5</u>
COL. 60	Languag	ge training	- []	2	3	4	<u>5</u> i_l
COL. 61	Psychol (child	ogical counseling	1	2	3	<i>l</i> ;	<u>5</u> .
COL. 62	Psychol (parer	logical counseling	<u> </u>	2	3	4	<u>5</u>
COL. 63	Regula: activ	r nursery school ities		2	3 3	4-4	5 5
COL. 64	Speech	read i ng				4	
COL. 65	Speech	therapy		اً		4	
COL - 66	Vocation	onal counseling					ا ا

*Individual HOLDS NATIONAL OR STATE certification in audiology or hearing, etc.

		29.	If your agency employs a supervisor for your communication ment services for hard-of-hearing children, please give liperson who supervises such services, and 2) the type of compour supervisor.) the type of staf?
COL.	67		Type of staff person who supervises commun development services	ication skills
COL.	68-69		Type of certification held by your supervis	sor
		30.	Do you have a contract or an agreement for the maintenance your auditory training equipment?	e and repair of
COL.	70 .		Check here if your agency does not have any a training equipment and GO TO ITEM 31.	auditory
COL.	71		8 YES (How often is it checked?	
			YES (How often is it checked? 9 NO, maintenance and repair service is provided by school NO	001
CARD	4	31.	Please indicate the <u>NUMBER</u> of staff persons employed by your vide hearing testing, special educational, and/or communicated operations of the persons employed by your development services for hard-of-hearing children.	
			TYPES OF STAFF PERSONS	OF STAFF PERSON
COL.	7 - 8		Audiologists (with ASHA Clinical Competence certification)	
COL.	9-10		Audiologists (with ASHA Basic certification)	
COL.	11-12		Audiometrists (with State certification)	
COL.	13-14		Speech pathologists (with ASHA Clinical Competence cert.)	
COL.	15-16		Speech clinicians (with ASHA Basic certification)	
COL.	17-18		Speech clinicians (with State certification)	
COL.	19-20		Teachers of the deaf (with CEASD certification)	
COL.	21-22		Teachers of the deaf (with State cartification)	
COL.	23-24		Regular nursery school teachers (with State cert.)	
COL.	25-26		Others (PLEASE SPECIFY:	-
)
COL.	27-29		Tota	



		32.	If money were available, what priority would you give to THREE of the following? Please number according to priority using 1 to indicate the highest priority, 2 to indicate the next highest and so on.
COL.	30		Employ more teachers
COL.	31		Employ more audiologists
COL.	32		Employ more audiometrists
COL.	33		Employ more hearing clinicians
COL.	34		Employ more speech clinicians
COL.	3 5		Employ more supervisory personnel
COL.	36		Increase administrative staff
COL.	37		Employ (more) specialists such as psychologists, social workers, etc.
COL.	38		Raise staff salaries
COL.	39		Other (PLEASE SPECIFY:)
		33.	If money were available, what priority would you give to THREE of the following? Please number according to priority using I to indicate the highest priority, 2 to indicate the next highest and so on.
COL.	40	33.	ing? Please number according to priority using 1 to indicate the highest
COL.	_	33.	ing? Please number according to priority using 1 to indicate the highest priority, 2 to indicate the next highest and so on.
	41	33.	ing? Please number according to priority using 1 to indicate the highest priority, 2 to indicate the next highest and so on. Expand clinical facilities
COL.	41 42	33.	ing? Please number according to priority using 1 to indicate the highest priority, 2 to indicate the next highest and so on. Expand clinical facilities Improve classrooms
COL.	41 42 43	33.	ing? Please number according to priority using 1 to indicate the highest priority, 2 to indicate the next highest and so on. Expand clinical facilities Improve classrooms Purchase more modern portable audiometers
COL.	41 42 43 44	33.	ing? Please number according to priority using 1 to indicate the highest priority, 2 to indicate the next highest and so on. Expand clinical facilities Improve classrooms Purchase more modern portable audiometers Purchase special diagnostic audiometric equipment
COL. COL. COL.	41 42 43 44 45	33.	ing? Please number according to priority using 1 to indicate the highest priority, 2 to indicate the next highest and so on. Expand clinical facilities Improve classrooms Purchase more modern portable audiometers Purchase special diagnostic audiometric equipment Purchase calibration equipment
COL. COL. COL.	41 42 43 44 45 46	33.	ing? Please number according to priority using 1 to indicate the highest priority, 2 to indicate the next highest and so on. Expand clinical facilities Improve classrooms Purchase more modern portable audiometers Purchase special diagnostic audiometric equipment Purchase calibration equipment Improve hearing screening facilities
COL. COL. COL. COL.	41 42 43 44 45 46 47	33.	ing? Please number according to priority using 1 to indicate the highest priority, 2 to indicate the next highest and so on. Expand clinical facilities Improve classrooms Purchase more modern portable audiometers Purchase special diagnostic audiometric equipment Purchase calibration equipment Improve hearing screening facilities Improve diagnostic testing environments
COL. COL. COL. COL.	41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48	33.	ing? Please number according to priority using 1 to indicate the highest priority, 2 to indicate the next highest and so on. Expand clinical facilities Improve classrooms Purchase more modern portable audiometers Purchase special diagnostic audiometric equipment Purchase calibration equipment Improve hearing screening facilities Improve diagnostic testing environments Purchase more auditory training equipment



34. Please describe briefly the research you believe is needed in the areas of hearing testing, special educational, and/or communication skills development services for hard-of-hearing children (i.e., children with hearing levels for speech for the better ear between 25 and 79 dB--ASA Standard)

35. Please add any comment you would like to make concerning your program and/or any of the material covered in the questionnaire. Thank you for participating in the survey.

We would appreciate greatly your returning the questionnaire by May 23, 1968.

ASHA

Study No. 12

April, 1968

